

Appendix B

Record of Comments

Phase 2

OPEN HOUSE - POSTER BOARD POST-IT NOTES

BOTTOM LEFT - BELOW PEACE GROVE

Ensure security
Access for those with Disabilities
Please keep fitness equipment to a minimum. Green space on its own is all you need to exercise! Much more flexible for all that way
Mixed use Development, please get rid of this parking lot
Community Garden
Perfect spot for community garden
What is happening in this area

BOTTOM LEFT - BELOW DELTA GARDEN

Extend bike path West to West end of the Project
Continue bike path separation
Why is the separated bike lane not continued on this path?
Consider a round-a-bout at this junction, could get bike path to N. Side for extension West

BOTTOM LEFT - DELTA GARDEN

Some cool interactive art piece would be nice here

JUST ABOVE PEACE BRIDGE

Ped/Bike conflict area South Side of Peace Bridge
Materiality Change (striped)

THE COVE

River access for those with disabilities
Flood mitigation is important

Sunnyside Flood Task Force (contact) For causeway height - Lower to prevent flooding in Sunnyside

MIDDLE

Softer shoulder on edge of existing pathway for runners
Can we expand the skate rink?

TOP RIGHT

Pedestrian + bike conflict
Straighter path is better
Straighter is not better, send faster bikes to 2nd or 3rd Ave
Pedestrian circulation
Buffer between residents and bikes
Circulation conflict zone
Buffer between residents + Bikes
Intersection into Eau Claire plaza very important (slow down bikes)
Can we expand the skate rink?

CIRCULATION and ACCESSIBILITY

Q1. WHICH OF THE APPROACHES BETTER ADDRESS CIRCULATION ISSUES AND WHY?	Q2. WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE PROPOSED CIRCULATION AND ACCESSIBILITY DESIGN STRATEGIES?	Q3. IS THE DESIGN APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH THE DIRECTION PROVIDED?
1. The idea of intermittent separation with allowing pedestrians closer access to the river is ideal.	They offer a variety of areas of interest so our could see yourself walking but staying longer	It actually works with existing structures but makes it so much more interesting and inviting
3. East Village uses the separate paths well, but lacks the 'grand' sense you get just west of Eau Claire	I like the natural edge and sections where peds can get closer to the water. The 8th Street approach is so much better than today	Yes
1. Separated!		
1. As both cyclist + pedestrian, I understand both sides of the issues. Often, pedestrians do no pay attention for cyclist + walk 5 across the path. Some cyclist also go faster than they should. A combination of paths will only alleviate a portion of the issue + is short sighted. If you want to draw more people to the pathways, except more congestion, plan for long-term + separate the paths now.	I like that there is at least some separation between cyclist + pedestrians	Headed in the right direction; not quite there
3. The current mix of commuter cyclist, recreational cyclist and pedestrians (both commuters + recreational) need to co-exist. It will be necessary to educate all groups as to the use and separated use	It doesn't favour anyone group or the other	Yes
1. Yes 2. No. 3. Not really	I like the bike and pedestrians separation that is proposed, however I would extend the separation West of 7th Street	Yes
2. It is dangerous to walk with little kids while people are cycling. Therefore, it is better to separate the two.	I like to see a separate dedicated pathways for pedestrians since there are so many kids walking around during the summer time. Not just that, people with dog.	Yes, since East Village does it. Why not do the rest of it along the bank of the river
3	Like the idea that the separated bike path would be fairly natural, narrow + blend in with the natural space	for the most part
3. What you have outlines appears to be ideal	accommodates everyone, good access and flow, nice 'beach' areas	yes
3. Current proposal looks like good compromise	Looks organic and not limited to rigid categories	Yes
1. It seems impossible to get cyclist to obey speed signs	Looks good - but the development must ensure residents are not directly looking at fast...?	I see no request for huge "gateways" structures
3. Share path between Louise Bridge + just after Peace Bridge, needs to be wide enough for high volume of cyclist and pedestrians. With lane down middle to separate		
1. Pedestrians all, jog in the middle of the path, on the wrong side or across the full width The rarely move over to accommodate cyclist that ring or call out	Separate pathways for pedestrians + cyclists	Somewhat. Would be better with continuous separate pathways
1. Pedestrians and cyclist move differently and have different mobility expectations. Pedestrians will and should be able to meander at will. Cyclist will generally be more "destination oriented"	Should consider ways to extend ped/bike separation West. Given constraints on South side (7th St. to 9th St.) Consider round about to allow bike path to transition to North side of concrete path. May also help streamline traffic flow at intersection.	In many ways it appears to be however the fact that it is, and will like remain, and E-W Travel Corridor for cyclist (an important one) shouldn't be ignored. Really consider importance of corridor for cycle travel and especially how cyclist will mix with peds at East End. East - West cyclist will no longer have a legible connection.
All of the above - however, Have you considered parking for those people who drive to the park. This is a huge issue, please make it possible to get there in the first place	Everything looks good	I think so
As long as people pay attention, I like 2+3 better because it encourages "mixing" more between different user types. I'm most concerned about how much "crisscrossing" there is between bike + people paths in the new proposal. Wherever pedestrians cross	The bike throughways is the highest collision potential - its actually better when al the traffic is flowing in the same direction. Either way all x-ing points = lots of lights + good sightlines please!	
3	Its great. I like the idea of separating the pedestrians and cycling	Yes
1. A significant number of cyclists are irresponsible and pose a danger to slow moving pedestrians including, particularly wheelchair users such as my wife	Seems as if efforts are being made to separate cyclists and pedestrians - needs to be implemented as much as possible	Yes
From Prince's Island to 10th St. Pathways should be separated. From 7:00 am - 8:30 Am and 3:30 pm - 5:30 pm, it is hazardous with the commuter cyclists who ride like the path is theirs		
3. I think that pedestrians/cyclist pathways should be context sensitive. Take into consideration the existing topography, risks, problem areas for conflict etc.	Makes aspects of the promenade destinations along the way to Eau Claire	Yes
3		
1. The pathways is extremely busy in summer and on weekends, and speed is a concern		
1. Most cyclist are using paths for getting from one point to another, going fast. Through small intersections could be more unsafe than larger ones one broader paths	Separation	Yes
1. Shared multi use pathways are dangerous especially for pedestrians	Circulation is logical and should improve movement. Accessibility designs should improve flow of traffic and make accessibility easier	Yes
2. Multi-use pathways are my preference and have worked well (for the most part) over many years		
Separate dedicated pathways for Cyclists and Pedestrians. The City has decided that the Bow River Pathway is a commuter route into the downtown area. Unfortunately cyclists are using this pathway as a cycle track during rush hours as they try to beat their best commute time. They believe that they have the right of way and that ringing their bell means "Get the hell out of my way". If you want proof just go out there and walk the path. The pathway as it goes under the Louise Bridge has a speed limit of 10kmh. The is never followed and never patrolled by City of Calgary Bylaw Officers.	Haven't seen the design	Haven't seen the design

CIRCULATION and ACCESSIBILITY

Q1. WHICH OF THE APPROACHES BETTER ADDRESS CIRCULATION ISSUES AND WHY?	Q2. WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE PROPOSED CIRCULATION AND ACCESSIBILITY DESIGN STRATEGIES?	Q3. IS THE DESIGN APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH THE DIRECTION PROVIDED?
Separate dedicated pathways	lots of separated bike lanes with some multi-use pathway	
3. A combination of dedicated and shared pathways in appropriate locations across the riverfront	separation Good access from all streets with curved entrance points for bikes coming from and going to the west. No hard 90 turns.	yes Yes
1. Separate dedicated pathways, assuming something similar to the Riverwalk along the East side of Centre street could be completed. That configuration is an ideal layout of bikeways separated from a broad pedestrian walkway. Extending that set up would also provide continuity for users of both kinds of pathways.	That uses are separated where possible.	Yes
3. dedicated pathways work better if there's space, but some spots along that stretch are probably too narrow to fit two pathways.	ties the Peace Bridge better into the park, maintains good environment for walking and cycling	Yes
1	Separate pathways	yes
Separate dedicated pathways - pedestrians cannot be relied upon to behave safely and predictably in a shared-use modality, and there will inevitably be no enforcement or punishment for violators.		
shared pathways. cyclists need to be less aggressive in the shared space	Not much. Better idea is to watch the users and pave the cowpaths.	No. The circulation patterns are too forced. Users will make their own shortcuts despite your constraints.
2. Wide shared Multi-use pathways for both Cyclists and Pedestrians	As a cyclist I have no problem slowing to walking speed to take in one of the most scenic parts of our beautiful bike pathways. I think the wide avenue presents amazing opportunities for street culture (small stands, musicians) and mingling.	Yes
1: it is disturbing to be walking and have cyclists speed by dangerously	separate bike lane(s)	yes
	Your form deleted all my prev comments and the input boxes are too small. My main interest is re Amenities, see below.	
Separated pathways along the length of the entire park should be created. This improves safety for all users, allows for convenient use by all travel/recreation modes and is consistent with existing City policies. The area is simply too busy to have a shared environment.	The separation, limited as it may be, is excellent. Access to current and future cycle tracks is also key.	Yes.
A combination of dedicated paths for cyclists and pedestrians. I am a daily cyclist who lives in Eau Claire. I don't have a car. I see no other way to deal with lots of pedestrians which is growing every year for past 4 years.	Not clear how berm and bike path looks like.	Ok
I like the combination as proposed. We need to learn to 'move together'.	Helps with the area I find most congested as I bike.	I believe so
Would like to see a consistent separated bike way through the park. Additional multi-use pathways are also nice.	Looks like an interesting place to walk. Good connections to the river and the city.	Sure.
Separate dedicated pathways will be better. A lot of pedestrians tend to take up whatever space you give them, so if you give them a shared path, enough of them will fill the full width of the path to make for a very frustrating, and at times unsafe, experience for cyclists. My experience has been that most pedestrians will not move over to share the path and allow cyclists to pass when a cyclist rings their bell. My understanding was that they were supposed to. (I believe at one time the city actually had a sign in one of the parks stating exactly that). It is unreasonable to expect cyclists to bike on the bike path at 5 km/h (pedestrian speed) because there are enough pedestrians side by side in both directions so that cyclists rarely have an opportunity to pass. I would like to be able to use the pathway to commute all year round, but I haven't used the Bow River pathway in summer, because it is too crowded and too many pedestrians ignore my bell (or can't hear it because they have headphones on), or walk down the middle of the path while others stay to the right so there's no where to pass. The approach of having cyclists yield to pedestrians seems to have been interpreted by a lot of pedestrians that they do not need to share the path at all; whether they're on the wrong side of the path, walking or running down the middle of it, or in a group that's taking up a half or more of the width of the pathway, there seems a shared mentality amongst many of the pedestrians that cyclists are second class citizens. Rather than serving the purpose of safety, the cyclists yield to pedestrians approach seems to have been adopted by many as a licence to bully cyclists. Separate pathways are needed, because there's no rational reason to believe that pedestrians who do not now share the pathway with cyclists will change.	I like that some of the paths are going to be separated -- I hope that all of them will be.	
3. I choose a combination of both so as to both walkies + cyclists can enjoy the paths without the feelings of separation, loss and also intertwine with choice that differs, say one chooses to walk one day than cycle the next.	I like the fact that it overall seeks to please the user and makes the economy look after the fact "people first"	We soon will see
3. There shouldn't be any cyclists where pedestrians walk because of numerous accidents. Always the case, every summer.	Some are good destinations.	No

VEGETATION and OPEN SPACE

Q4. WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE VEGETATION AND OPEN SPACE DESIGN STRATEGIES?	Q5. WHAT WOULD YOU CHANGE?	Q6. IS THE DESIGN APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH THE DIRECTION PROVIDED?
Love the great lawn area as a public space	A couple of small areas where children could stop to climb. Nothing elaborate but to keep the walk going	
I like that a mix of manicured and natural areas both exist. One of the great aspects of the Bow river is the juxtaposition of a modern downtown with a wild river		yes
Most of the concepts are good - low cost, low impact	8th Street corridor/park - looks like a lot of grass ("nature") is being replaced by concrete. Exercise area - how will this be maintained in winter? Great concept for hot climates; not sure about Calgary's climate.. Surf area --> were the surfers consulted? Perhaps they don't want it to be a busier space?	Going in the right direction although I'm pretty happy with the current situation
Very attractive	nothing	Appears to be
I like the formalization of meeting and gathering areas and the bright lights	Hopefully every positive civic building + built on the 8th Street Corridor and will act as a positive anchor in an area that is currently littered with homelessness and shady people	Yes
I love it. It gives a natural feel to the environment	No food kiosk along the bank of the river which will cause other business to fail. Such as Eau	
Like that most of the green space will remain		
Lots of greenery + mixed plants. Nature trail is especially great	Nothing	Yes
Delta Garden is very attractive and compelling	Lower the causeway to Prince's Island to improve flood mitigation	Yes
Ok - but you are destroying the green space in front of Prince's Island estates!	Do not like the Major Gateways - they will severely impact views, noise is already a problem - gateway + kiosks attract more buskers, Keep spaces small - people gather in smaller spaces	
	Great lawn by peace bridge should be kept green. Not multi purpose space/entertainment	
Tree-lined pathways		
They look good	Parking!	
Maybe redesign the layout of vegetation to enable more design strategies	Accessibility. Now seems to be is a better place for the surrounding Neighbourhood, but how to better connect the park to the whole city is important	
Provides views to the river	There is no need for exercise equipment. Exercise in other places indicates low usage and focal points for graffiti and vandalism	Yes
Opening up the underbrush which is currently where homeless drink in the daytime and sleep at night		
Makes it inviting	Make sure species are sustainable and suitable for the climate-don't plan things that will require regular replanting/maintenance (relate to maintenance strategy)	
	Pictures how the great lawn as filled with deck chairs. Don't agree with this. Leave it open-currently young men come and play soccer. Early evening and weekends, see dads and kids out kicking a ball. We need space where people can get ride of energy	There are enough spots where people can sit and relax in your plans - don't need to do this in the Great Lawn. With young people living in the new condos, they need space. This does not mean to say that the space couldn't be used for movies, etc..
Love the proposed vegetation but lighting must be a priority for safety		
A cleaner look will bring more people		
They make a beautiful area that citizens will truly enjoy	Consider a separate dedicated pathway from 7 SE - 10St. There is congestion frequently on this portion	Yes
I would not separate the current pathway and a new bike path moved much closer to residents at 500 and 400 Eau Claire is not desirable as it would bring more noise closer to	Keep multi-use pathway between 3rd and 5th street	
Haven't seen the design	Get cyclists (commuters) off the Bow River Pathway and back on the road where they belong	No idea
	nothing	
Native plants gardens!	more native plant gardens	yes
maintain urban canopy and shade. walking down the pathways in the shade is bliss. I like the mix of a great lawn and the more urban delta garden.	Nothing	Yes
Oh the whole thing is good.	Nothing	Yep
Good ideas to restore more natural plants		Yes
The open space, natural vegetation	N/a	Yes
Tries too hard to make the area inhospitable to users who are not downtown commuters or local residents. does not encourage vibrancy or diversity.	add sightlines, areas for community use that do not require permits, encourage randomness	
That it's a very green area in the middle of downtown. You can "get away from it all" just a few steps outside huge office towers.	I would start tree-planting along the southern border of the identified area. With dense greenery, the park can feel just as vast and open as a large park like Fish Creek. Without it, it's obvious that it's just a spit of land next to a number of parking lots.	Yes
I like the natural trees	keep it as natural as possible - eliminate lawns - use more xeriscaping	yes
The Delta Garden is beautiful and the Boardwalk is a great addition.	Ensuring natural areas are retained is important.	Yes.

VEGETATION and OPEN SPACE

Q4. WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE VEGETATION AND OPEN SPACE DESIGN STRATEGIES?	Q5. WHAT WOULD YOU CHANGE?	Q6. IS THE DESIGN APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH THE DIRECTION PROVIDED?
Looks lovely,, but already there isn't much empty space to work with near the Peace Bridge and by the river.	Please, please forget about wading areas/urban beach...etc. Large areas were flooded! The river rose within 5 inches of the Peace Bridge's underside! I live 1 block away from Peace bridge. We cannot make the banks less deep. It should be the opposite, higher banks for flood mitigation!	Fine except for low lying river areas for public. It's ridiculous and dangerous for several months every spring when teh river water levels rise and current is strong.
Please see above. I love the idea of more artistic hanging lanterns for night path lighting.		
Yes! Keeping what is 'native' and adding to the diversity.	Don't ' fence in' the vegetation too much. Don't over-surface the walking areas. We need dirt and grass under our feet - and we cannot only create 'walker' and wheelchair areas.	OK
Great Lawn is a good size and in a good location. River Deck and Launch are important spaces for viewing.	Remove helipad, Make better use of 'Open Lawn'. Allocate space for sports: soccer, ultimate etc.	Sure.
I like that the paths will be tree-lined as they currently, are, and that there will be more rest		
Great for small outdoor sport and recreation - more shrubs would be great to add for scenic view.	My change would focus more toward color and trails for bikes as well as hikers. i.e. river walks for couples etc.	We will see
There should be bigger flower beds for the environment.	No cyclists where pedestrians walk, to many children getting hurt & not reported because authorities take forever to come and also don't respond	Refer to Q3 (no)

AMENITIES

Q10. WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE AMENITIES DESIGN STRATEGIES?	Q11. WHAT WOULD YOU CHANGE?	Q.12 IS THE DESIGN APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH THE DIRECTION PROVIDED
The number of places for small and large groupings		
The pathways and ped/seating areas are a nice mix of active and relaxed use. Public art provided for!	Opportunities for commercial/café use	Not certain
Not a lot... I like having more seating areas; I would prefer to keep the area quiet + leave the business @ princes' Island + Eau Claire	There is already enough congestion on the path without adding kiosks--> people should be able to walk to Eau Claire + use the pre-existing amenities	No --> increasing congestion is not consistent with the direction
Appear to have taken into account the seasonal changes in Calgary	Nothing	yes
Love the boardwalk	Please incorporate space for a community garden for Eau Claire residents. We are working with the city to get a garden established in our Neighbourhood, so we hope it will be part of the long term design. Also, please either eliminate or reduce the space dedicated for fitness equipment. That element doesn't fit with the natural feel of the pathway + green space	
Lagoon boardwalk, nature trail, kiosks delta garden, outdoor fitness equipment	nothing	yes
Since there is no children's playground, the city should chip in to improve the playground at prince's Island (blue foam surface for instance) in order to improve the all-round usability of the location	yes	
Where are there more public washrooms? (other than Eau Claire plaza + princes island). Washrooms across the whole river system		
More seating, more lighting	Art Shouldn't be placed in the middle of pathways. Safety circulation and recreation should be given higher priority than art	yes
Finally the south side of the Peace Bridge will look finished		
Maintain open area. Pedestrians walk at the riverside	No need for further public art. There is sufficient for what is (or should be) a walking park. Washrooms should be provided	Yes
Fitness park, more benches and seating areas	Maybe cluster more kiosks together. Usually more "stuff" draws more people	
Encourage different users, attract a variety of population	Make sure it is sustainable - not great start and then get shut down due to cost/low use	
Id like to see a small washroom and pathway possibly located with the kiosk		
Lots of interesting lookouts and spots for people to stop and enjoy the park. Work out area is great	Add bathroom by Louise Bridge	yes
Lagoon sailboats and delta garden		yes
Love the new places to explore like the Lagoon boardwalk and the Cove.	Nothing	Yes
All ages and seasons accounted for.	Lose the outdoor fitness area.	Yes.
I like the benches and outdoor exercise equipment		Yes
Kiosk,		yes
exercise equipment	no food trucks, no new restaurants	no
	You must seek input from real surfers on the surf wave to avoid the boondoggle/joke that was Harvey Passage. Seek out Alberta River SUrfing Assoc, Neil Egsgard. Locals who have already spent \$\$\$ and thousands of hours on the engineering and permitting of proper waves. Without this the 8th st wave will never be more than a beginner's first time out. If you want to promote a sport that is already taking off in Europe and Montreal you need to put real effort in.	
Everything seems of very high quality.	More bicycle parking at destinations within the park. More places to sit.	Yes.
Some sensible seating with views - Like it. Like the boardwalk idea a lot. Like the kiosks. Viewpoints for the river - steps and so on, seem good. And emergency call boxes are increasingly seen as valuable.	Don't make too many kiosk areas.	Yes.
The amenities are layed out with appropriate density.	More extensive skating and enhanced skating facility. More variety in seating options. More sheltered cold weather spaces.	Sure.
There will be more seating, a garden with seating, picnic tables.		
There still isn't enough washrooms	Controlled washrooms or secure with neon [illegible]	No

MAINTENANCE and SAFETY

Q7. WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT THE MAINTENANCE AND SAFETY DESIGN STRATEGIES?	Q8. WHAT WOULD YOU CHANGE?	Q9. IS THE DESIGN APPROACH CONSISTENT WITH THE DIRECTION PROVIDED?
The increased lighting would increase the safety	To allow for rising water levels to flow over and recede materials and anchoring would have to be taken into account	
Vegetation details for visibility to problem areas	No specific to the plan but I think commercial uses right to the edge aid in the care of the space and comfort of users. Not clear on lighting, security, would like public washrooms, provide power for festival/event usage	yes
Improving visibility is a good idea; where are the homeless going to go?		Yes
Well thought out		Yes, for the most part
	There should be enhanced safety through netter lighting and more patrolling. Right now the homeless seemingly camp at between 10th St. and 7th St. its unsafe	
Seems rather maintenance free - which is ideal. Good lighting	nothing	yes
Please make you raise the bank of the river for flood mitigation. This area did flood in 2013 - in and around Peace Bridge, Eau Claire		
Removal of Pinch point, increased sightness and lighting	Art anchor in middle of path creates an unnecessary obstacle, and confusion about which side of it to travel on when heading East. Circle is too tight for cyclist (eastbound) to stay on right side of it	
Separation is good for cyclist + peds	Consider traffic flow at intersections and end points. It will need to be much clearer as to how space is to be used than presented. Don't meander bike path please. Mitigate conflicts at crossing with yield (not Stop) signs and pavement markings	
To bring more people into the park, not only during certain time - ex. Evening and early morning too.	Increase the visibility of the area. Introduce some commercial into the area which attracts more people. Create more creation activities	yes
Improve lighting and separation of cyclist and pedestrians	Need to ensure that mobility impaired users have easy and safe access	yes
try to make it safer		
good		
The lighting is great addition. This park defiantly clears out when the sun goes down and even long before bed time		
Improve safety considerably		yes
Ok except I do not agree with the designation "greenery encroaching on pathway" adjacent to 400 Eau Claire Ave. if it refers to the cottonwood trees on the south side of the existing pathway		
addresses my safety concerns	nothing	
lagoon formalization	nothing	yes
good even lighting with minimal places to "hide" and do shady things	Nothing	Yes
Employing strategies to enhance public safety; specifically referencing maintenance is a good thing.	Would consider the energy use the amount of lighting this plan calls for. Options for green lighting?	Yes
Takes into account blind spots (good to reduce cyclist/pedestrian conflicts)		Yes
Stormwater Management	Build above 1:100 flood level	Yes
more lighting makes it much safer	nothing	Yes
It is encouraging to see a greater focus on lighting. The best way to enhance safety is through opening up more spaces to more people, and this concept does that well.	Nothing at this point.	Yes
	Where are the public washrooms closer to the Louise Bridge end? Seriously we cannot rely on just Eau Claire Plaza for public washrooms. Have you ever thought of bike lockers actually near Eau Claire Park edge --it's only a 10 min. walk to LRT. Fills multi-modal needs and also for people who work in buildings that don't offer secure bike parking all day.	
I didn't pay so much attention to this, sorry		
Enhanced lighting: Nice. Overlooks to the river: Nice. Kiosks: Nice	Looks good as shown	Sure.
More lighting will help to make the path feel safer. Improving sight lines and reducing ice-build up will be good.	I hope there will be bike racks added at the new amenities	
Ideal, but as all things in life - it's about how we work together.		
The bike path should be separate from pedestrian for safety issues	Refer to Q7	No

OTHERS

Q13. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT OF THE CONCEPT DESIGN?
When approx. is the completion or is this done in stages?
First class, really enhances the space West of 2st
Please add safe off-leash dog area like Sue Higgins Park. Urban dogs and their owners need a safe meeting space
Overall, good ideas. Use SPELLCHECK on future presentation material! If you can't take the time to review your presentations + ensure there are not errors; are you going to catch errors/flaws in the design?
Developers need to be made aware there's no opportunity to encroach and acquire existing green space to accommodate the development proposals. Development needs to scale back where appropriate. There is a lack of green space and it
More safety, more lighting, and more gathering spaces especially in the high risk area near 10th St.
Thank you for all your hard work + communication
I think you have done an outstanding job of accommodating all requests. Very Happy
Good start. Try not to do so many activities in such a small space. Eau Claire park is not that large.
Glad to see preservation of existing concrete being included
You need to have someone who can spell prepare the place cards. Numerous spelling mistakes leave an impression of 'sloppiness' and certainty detracts from the presentation
Love it! The sooner the better!
make sure cost efficient
Promoting Kiosks and artist set up is great, keep the park shared, walkers, bikes and skateboards
What does it matter the City is going to do what it wants which was decided long before the consultation.
is there going to be some sand by the riverdeck and a place to put your feet in the water?
Great improvements. Happy to see investment in the area. Looking forward to see it come to life.
Will it help protect downtown from future flooding? i.e. will it be built to a specific flood level?
I'd like festivals banned on Prince's Island and the island transformed into a natural Oasis
Well done
It does look expensive to implement so I hope it may be possible to do this in stages. Circulation first. Landscaping around Peace Bridge will be powerful.
Circulation should allow for bikes to travel through without being slowed down. Walkers should be able to walk without feeling threatened by bike traffic. An additional (man-made) standing wave could be constructed. Prepare space for
There should be bigge flower beds for birds and bees. They are important for the environment and or gardens. And multi-color lighting for nighth walks.
I feel ther are too many cyclists using River Walk who "speed" and present a dangerous situation. Will there be some control on this in the new park?