



2016 Citizen Satisfaction Survey

Ward 4 Final Report

Prepared for The City of Calgary by:

Contact:

Jamie Duncan
Vice President
Ipsos
587.952.4863

jamie.duncan@ipsos.com
700 6th Ave SW, Suite 1950
Calgary, AB T2P 0T8


Sheela Das
Director
Ipsos
587.952.4874

sheela.das@ipsos.com
700 6th Ave SW, Suite 1950
Calgary, AB T2P 0T8



I. Methodology	3
II. Summary of Key Findings	4
III. Detailed Findings	9
Issue Agenda	10
Quality of Life	12
City Programs and Services	20
Environmental Performance	38
Taxation	42
Contact with The City	48
City Communications	54
IV. Demographics	58

- ❖ Telephone survey conducted with a randomly selected sample of 2,500 Calgarians aged 18 years and older between August 18th and September 6th, 2016.
 - Both landline (70%) and cell phone (30%) sample were used.
 - The average interview length was 31 minutes.
- ❖ Final data were weighted to ensure the overall sample's quadrant, ward and age/gender composition reflects that of the actual Calgary population aged 18 or older according to 2011 Federal Census data.
- ❖ The margin of error (MOE) for the total sample of 2,500 is ± 2.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
 - A total of 190 interviews were conducted with residents of Ward 4 (MOE ± 7.2).
- ❖ Research Note on significant differences:
 - Throughout, City Wide results are compared to results from Ward 4.
 - \uparrow indicates a number is significantly higher than City Wide.
 - \downarrow indicates a number is significantly lower than City Wide.
- ❖ Where possible, 2016 results for Ward 4 are compared to those from 2015.
 - Only significant differences are shown.





Summary of Key Findings





Calgary in 2016

- ❖ The last twelve months have proven to be difficult for our city, with the impacts of a slowed economy being felt more acutely than in 2015. With Calgary's unemployment rate climbing from 6.8% in 2015 to 8.6% in 2016, downtown office vacancy rates at near record levels, and the value of building permits forecasted to be significantly lower than 2015, speculation continues about whether we will see an upswing as we move into 2017.
- ❖ Despite facing challenging times, many Calgarians as a whole remain resilient. A number of surveys, including this one, report that people have a generally optimistic view about the future, and perceptions of their overall quality of life is still quite strong.
- ❖ Our research suggests that Calgarians are looking to government – Federal, Provincial, and Municipal – for leadership and sound investment to strengthen and diversify our economy moving forward. However, Calgarians are also looking to government to be more watchful and aware of how their tax dollars are being spent.
- ❖ This year's Citizen Satisfaction Survey presents a narrative that highlights some **shifts in perceptions that can likely be attributed to the economic downturn**. It is an important editorial note for those reviewing this year's survey.



Key Findings: Quality of Life and Issue Agenda

For Ward 4 residents, perceptions about the quality of life in Calgary are extremely strong and generally on par with City Wide results. That being said, consistent with City Wide, 2016 sees some statistically significant declines.

- ❖ Just over eight-in-ten (82%) Ward 4 residents say the quality of life in Calgary today is ‘good,’ consistent with City Wide (83%).
- ❖ As with City Wide, in 2016 more Ward 4 residents say the quality of life in Calgary has ‘worsened’ over the past three years (36% vs. 25% in 2015) and fewer say it has ‘improved’ (18% vs. 26% in 2015).
- ❖ Also consistent with City Wide, agreement that ‘Calgary is a great place to make a living’ is down significantly among Ward 4 residents – from 81% in 2015 to 71% in 2016.
- ❖ However, compared to City Wide, more Ward 4 residents agree that ‘Calgary is moving in the right direction to ensure a high quality of life for future generations’ (73% vs. 66% City Wide).
 - Further, ‘*completely agree*’ ratings (10 on a 10-point scale) see a 7 percentage point gain (from 6% in 2015 to 13% in 2016).

The Ward 4 issue agenda is largely consistent with City Wide results.

- ❖ “*Infrastructure, traffic and roads*” (34%, on par with 35% City Wide) holds the top position, followed by “*transit*” (22%, on par with 21% City Wide) and “*crime, safety and policing*” (18%, statistically consistent with 15% City Wide).
 - It is notable, however, that specific mentions of “*road conditions*” is statistically higher among Ward 4 residents (15% vs. 9% City Wide).
 - Also, mentions of the “*economy*” as a key issue is up 6 percentage points among Ward 4 residents (from 3% in 2015 to 9% in 2016) – this is identical to the increase seen City Wide (also up 6 points to 9%).



Key Findings: City Programs and Services

Overall satisfaction with the level and quality of City services remains high.

- ❖ More than eight-in-ten (85%) of Ward 4 residents say they are satisfied with the overall level and quality of services and programs provided by The City, statistically consistent with City Wide results (79%).
- ❖ Among Ward 4 residents, satisfaction and desired investment in a number of programs and services differs from City Wide and/or has changed from 2015.
 - Findings are positive for:
 - Spring road cleaning: 56% 'very satisfied' (higher than 46% City Wide), and 14% 'invest more' (down from 26% in 2016).
 - Protection from river flooding: 91% satisfied (up from 84% in 2015).
 - Bylaw services: 95% satisfied (higher than 87% City Wide).
 - Calgary Transit: 87% satisfied (up from 74% in 2015), and 61% 'invest more' (down from 74% in 2015).
 - City growth management: 87% satisfied (higher than 80% City Wide), and 27% 'invest more' (down from 37% in 2015 and lower than 36% City Wide).
 - Whereas decreases are seen for:
 - Calgary Fire Department: 83% 'very satisfied' (down from 91% in 2015).
 - Residential garbage collection service: 71% 'very satisfied' (down from 81% in 2015).
 - Road maintenance including pothole repairs: 17% 'very satisfied' (down from 28% in 2015 and lower than 25% City Wide), and 60% 'invest more' (higher than 52% City Wide).
- ❖ It is noteworthy that unlike City Wide results, satisfaction with property tax assessment has not declined from 2015, and at 82% is statistically higher than City Wide (73%).



Key Findings: Taxation, the Environment and Contact with The City

As with the broader Calgary public, the perceived value of property tax dollars remains steady while the tolerance for tax increases is down markedly among Ward 4 residents.

- ❖ In 2016, 62% of Ward 4 residents (consistent with 63% City Wide) give The City a 'good value' rating for the value of their property tax dollars.
- ❖ However, four-in-ten (41%) Ward 4 residents support cutting services to maintain or reduce taxes – a 12 percentage point increase from 2015 (29%).
 - More specifically, 29% support cutting services to maintain the current tax level – up 10 points from 2015 (19%).
 - Conversely, just 19% support increasing taxes to expand services – an 11 point decrease from 2015 (30%).
- ❖ Further, when asked which option they would prefer The City to take to increase revenue for new or emerging services, only 11% of Ward 4 residents would opt for a property tax increase, down 15 percentage points from 2015 (26%).

Perceptions of the state of the environment in Calgary remain extremely positive, and statistically higher than 2015.

- ❖ Virtually all (98%) Ward 4 residents give the overall state of Calgary's environment today a 'good' rating, up from 93% in 2015. Satisfaction with The City's environmental performance remains strong and steady at 93%.

Two noteworthy changes are observed with regard to contact with The City.

- ❖ Among Ward 4 residents, past 12 months incidence of contact is 61%, down from 66% in 2015.
- ❖ Among those who contacted The City, incidence of contacting The City by internet is 31% - statistically higher than 20% City Wide and up 14 percentage points from 2015 (17%).

There are no noteworthy differences between Ward 4 residents and the broader Calgary public – or notable changes from 2015 – with regard to customer service or communications.



Detailed Findings





Issue Agenda





Issue Agenda

Multiple Responses

City Wide

Ward 4

■ First Mention ■ Other Mentions

Issue Category	First Mention	Other Mentions	City Wide Total	Ward 4 Total
INFRASTRUCTURE, TRAFFIC & ROADS (NET)	25%	10%	35%	34%
Traffic congestion	8%	3	11%	7%
Road conditions	6%	3	9%	15%↑
Infrastructure maintenance/ improvement/ development	4%	6%	6%	3%
Bicycle/ pedestrian lanes	3	5%	5%	4%
TRANSIT (NET)	14%	7%	21%	22%
Public Transportation [incl. buses/ C-train/ poor service]	9%	11%	11%	13%
Transportation (unspecified)	5%	3	8%	6%
CRIME, SAFETY & POLICING (NET)	10%	5%	15%	18%
Crime [incl. Breaking and entering/ gangs/ drug dealers, etc.]	5%	3	8%	10%
Public safety	4%	6%	6%	8%
ECONOMY (NET)	7%	9%	9%	9% 3%
Unemployment/ job creation	5%	6%	6%	6%
RECREATION (NET)	5%	4%	9%	6%
TAXES (NET)	6%	8%	8%	8%
Education [incl. lack of teachers/ funding/ schools/local schools]	4%	3	7%	6%
ENVIRONMENT & WASTE MANAGEMENT (NET)	3	3	6%	4%
HOMELESSNESS, POVERTY & AFFORDABLE HOUSING (NET)	3	4%	4%	3%
GROWTH AND PLANNING (NET)	3	4%	4%	3%
BUDGET AND SPENDING (NET)	3	4%	4%	2%
Other			22%	28%
None			15%	17%

Note: A "NET" is a combination of 2 or more mentions that cover a specific theme

Total mentions <4% are not shown

Ward 4 2015

In your view, as a resident of the city of Calgary, what is the most important issue facing your community, that is, the one issue you feel should receive the greatest attention from your local leaders? Are there any other important local issues?
 Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,452 / Ward 4: n=184)




Quality of Life





Overall Quality of Life in Calgary




*Rounding

On a scale of “1” to “10” where “1” represents “very poor” and “10” represents “very good” how would you rate the overall quality of life in the city of Calgary today?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,497 / Ward 4: n=190)



Perceived Change in the Quality of Life in Calgary



And, do you feel that the quality of life in the city of Calgary in the past three years has ...?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,469 / Ward 4: n=187)



Actions to Improve the Quality of Life

Multiple Responses

City Wide

Ward 4

	City Wide	Ward 4
Transportation (NET)	25%	26%
Better traffic management	7%	9%
Improvement/ maintenance of existing roads	6%	7%
Infrastructure (unspecified)	3%	0% 4%
Employment, Cost of Living & Homelessness (NET)	23%	25% 11%
Improve job creation/ employment	13%	11% 4%
Expand affordable housing/ rent	4%	4%
Government (NET)	21%	18% 8%
Reduce taxes	9%	5%
Tax spending/ city budget	5%	3%
Recreation & Community Services (NET)	18%	19%
Parks/ green-space improvement	5%	8%
Building of community centres/ recreation facilities	4%	4%
Transit (NET)	17%	18%
Improve public transportation (unspecified)	6%	5% 20%
Improve bus/ train schedule/ service	5%	8%
Crime, Safety & Policing (NET)	9%	6%
Control crime and safety	5%	4%
More policing/ patrolling	4%	3%
Growth & Planning (NET)	5%	5%
Education (NET)	3%	5%
Environment (NET)	3%	4%
Health (NET)	3%	4%
Other	8%	8%
Nothing	17%	13%

Total mentions <3% are not shown

Note: A "NET" is a combination of 2 or more mentions that cover a specific theme

Ward 4 2015

Thinking about all of the different things that contribute to the quality of life in Calgary, what specific actions do you think The City of Calgary could take to improve the quality of life?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,409 / Ward 4: n=180)



Sustainability: Connectedness and Inclusivity

■ Completely Agree (10) ■ Agree (9, 8 or 7) ■ Neutral (6 or 5) ■ Disagree (4, 3, 2 or 1)

% Agree


I am proud to be a Calgarian




I am proud to live in my neighbourhood



I am regularly involved in neighbourhood and local community events



The City of Calgary municipal government fosters a city that is inclusive and accepting of all



Next, I'm going to read you a series of statements that some people have said about life in Calgary. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement using a scale from 1 to 10, where "1" is "completely disagree" and "10" is "completely agree".

Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)




Sustainability: Making a Life, Making a Living and Direction for the Future


■ Completely Agree (10) ■ Agree (9, 8 or 7) ■ Neutral (6 or 5) ■ Disagree (4, 3, 2 or 1)

% Agree


Calgary is a great place to make a life



Calgary is a great place to make a living



Calgary is moving in the right direction to ensure a high quality of life for future generations




Ward 4 2015

Next, I'm going to read you a series of statements that some people have said about life in Calgary. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with each statement using a scale from 1 to 10, where "1" is "completely disagree" and "10" is "completely agree".

Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Calgary: On the Right Track to Being a Better City?




*Rounding

There are a wide array of challenges facing The City of Calgary today, but also many success stories. Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statement about Calgary's future: Calgary is on the right track to be a better city 10 years from now.

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,485 / Ward 4: n=190)



Perceived Safety in Own Neighbourhood



How safe do you feel or would you feel walking alone in your neighbourhood after dark?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,494 / Ward 4: n=189)

*Rounding



City Programs and Services






Top-of-Mind Programs and Services

Multiple Responses

City Wide

Ward 4



Note: A "NET" is a combination of 2 or more mentions that cover a specific theme

Total mentions <3% are not shown


Thinking about all of the services and programs provided by The City of Calgary, what services come to mind?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,381 / Ward 4: n=186)

Ward 4 2015



Overall Satisfaction with the Level and Quality of City Services and Programs




*Rounding

On a scale from “1” to “10” where “1” represents “not at all satisfied” and “10” represents “very satisfied” how satisfied are you with the overall level and quality of services and programs provided by The City of Calgary?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,479 / Ward 4: n=188)



Importance of City Programs and Services



Ward 4 2015


I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how important each one is to you.

Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Importance of City Programs and Services (continued)

% Important



I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how important each one is to you.


Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Importance of City Programs and Services (continued)

% Important

■ Very important ■ Somewhat important




Ward 4 2015

I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how important each one is to you.

Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Importance of City Programs and Services (continued)




Ward 4 2015

I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how important each one is to you.

Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Satisfaction with City Programs and Services




Ward 4 2015

I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how satisfied you are with the job The City is doing in providing that program or service. Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Satisfaction with City Programs and Services (continued)




Ward 4 2015

I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how satisfied you are with the job The City is doing in providing that program or service.
 Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)




Satisfaction with City Programs and Services (continued)



I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how satisfied you are with the job The City is doing in providing that program or service.
 Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Satisfaction with City Programs and Services (continued)




Ward 4 2015

I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me how satisfied you are with the job The City is doing in providing that program or service. Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Investment in City Programs and Services




Ward 4 2015

I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me if you think The City should invest more, less or the same amount on the program or service. Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)



Investment in City Programs and Services

(continued)




I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me if you think The City should invest more, less or the same amount on the program or service.
 Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)

Ward 4 2015



Investment in City Programs and Services

(continued)




I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me if you think The City should invest more, less or the same amount on the program or service.
 Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)

Ward 4 2015



Investment in City Programs and Services

(continued)




Ward 4 2015

I am going to read a list of programs and services provided to you by The City of Calgary. Please tell me if you think The City should invest more, less or the same amount on the program or service.
Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)




Importance vs. Satisfaction Grid: City Wide



Please note "On-street bikeways" is plotted at (65% satisfaction, 56% importance) and not illustrated on this graph.



Importance vs. Satisfaction Grid: Ward 4





Primary Strengths and Weaknesses: City Wide versus Ward 4

Please note: Only items that are primary strengths or primary weaknesses either City Wide or for the Ward are shown in the table.

Primary Strength
Primary Weakness
Neither (in another quadrant)

	City Wide	Ward 4
Fire Department		
9-1-1		
Residential Garbage Collection		
Quality of Drinking Water		
Police Service		
Parks, Playgrounds and Open Spaces		
Disaster Planning and response		
Calgary's Pathway System		
Spring Road Cleaning		
Blue Cart Recycling		
Community Services		
Recreation Facilities		
Social Services		
Traffic Flow Management		
Affordable Housing		
Property Tax Assessment		
Road Maintenance		
Transportation Planning		
Snow Removal		
City Growth Management		
Calgary Transit		
Roads and Infrastructure		
Land Use Planning		




Environmental Performance





Perceptions About Overall State of Calgary's Environment




Ward 4 2015 *Rounding

Thinking about things such as air, water and land quality in the city, how would you rate the overall state of Calgary's environment today?
 Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,498 / Ward 4: n=190)



Satisfaction with The City's Environmental Performance




How satisfied are you with the job The City of Calgary is currently doing to protect the environment?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,469 / Ward 4: n=188)



Satisfaction with The City's Environmental Programs and Services



*Rounding

How satisfied are you with The City of Calgary's programs and services aimed at helping Calgarians reduce their environmental impact?
Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,472 / Ward 4: n=186)




Taxation





Knowledge Levels of Tax Dollar Spending




Thinking about how The City of Calgary government is run, and what services it provides, would you say you are very, somewhat, not very, or not at all knowledgeable about how City tax dollars are spent?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,494 / Ward 4: n=189)



Perceived Value of Property Taxes




*Rounding

Your property tax dollars are divided between The City and the Province. In Calgary, approximately half of your property tax bill goes to The City to fund municipal services. Considering the services provided by The City, please rate the value you feel you receive from your municipal property tax dollars using a scale of 1 to 10 where “1” represents “very poor value” and “10” represents “very good value”.

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,466 / Ward 4: n=186)



Balancing Taxation and Service Delivery Levels




Ward 4 2015 *Rounding

Municipal property taxes are the primary way to pay for services and programs provided by The City of Calgary. Due to the increased cost of maintaining current service levels and infrastructure, The City must balance taxation and service delivery levels. To deal with this situation, which of the following four options would you most like The City to pursue?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,457 / Ward 4: n=190)



Options for Increasing City Revenue



Ward 4 2015

Should The City need to increase the amount of revenue it collects from citizens for new or emerging services, would you prefer The City to ...?



Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,331 / Ward 4: n=177)



Property Tax Dollar Investment

I am interested in knowing how my property tax dollars are invested in various City services

The City does a good job of providing citizens with information about how their property tax dollars are invested in various City services



Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,490 / Ward 4: n=188)

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,475 / Ward 4: n=187)

Please indicate if you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or strongly disagree with each of the following statements.



Contact with The City






Past 12 Months Contact with The City of Calgary

% Yes

■ City Wide

■ Ward 4




Ward 4 2015

Have you contacted or dealt with The City of Calgary or dealt with The City or one of its employees in the last twelve months?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,486 / Ward 4: n=189)



Satisfaction with the Overall Level and Quality of Customer Service




*Rounding

On a scale of 1 – 10 where “1” represents “not at all satisfied” and “10” represents “very satisfied”, how satisfied are you with the overall level and quality of customer service provided by The City of Calgary?

Base: Valid respondents who contacted or dealt with The City in the last twelve months (City Wide: n=1,630 / Ward 4: n=119)



Type of Contact




Ward 4 2015

When you contacted The City was it... ?

Base: Valid respondents who contacted The City in the last twelve months (City Wide: n=1,080 / Ward 4: n=76)



Satisfaction with Most Recent City Contact




How satisfied were you with your most recent contact with The City?

Base: 2016: Valid respondents who contacted The City of Calgary in the last twelve months (City Wide: n=1,081 / Ward 4: n=76)



Attitudes Regarding City Service Delivery and Transparency

% Agree



Thinking about your personal dealings with The City of Calgary, your general impressions and anything you may have read, seen or heard, please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about The City?

Base: Valid respondents (Bases vary)




City Communications





The Extent of Information Received



In your opinion, do you currently receive too much, too little, or just the right amount of information from The City?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,487 / Ward 4: n=189)



Top Areas for Information from The City

Multiple Responses

City Wide

Ward 4

Area	City Wide	Ward 4
Budget & Spending (Net)	32%	22%↓
Tax/ government money spending	28%	19%↓
Budgets	3%	2%
Infrastructure, Traffic & Roads (Net)	26%	28%
Roads	9%	13%
Infrastructure (unspecified)	5%	1%
Transportation planning	3%	3%
Planning & Development (Net)	19%	18%
Planning/ future growth	11%	12%
Land use planning/ development	6%	4%
Taxation (Net)	18%	17%
Taxes/ taxation (unspecified)	10%	10%
Property taxes	5%	6%
Transportation (Net)	14%	18%
Transportation (unspecified)	7%	8%
Transit	6%	9%
Recreation (Net)	10%	10%
Recreation/ leisure centres/ programs	6%	2%
Parks/ playgrounds/ community centers/ green-spaces	4%	5%
City Services (Net)	8%	4%
Crime, Safety & Policing (Net)	7%	6%
Government (political decisions, transparency) (Net)	6%	5%
Community & Social Services (Net)	6%	6%
Media (Net)	5%	6%
Website/ online	4%	5%
Education	3%	8%↑
Environment & Waste Management (Net)	3%	3%
Other	24%	26%

Note: A "NET" is a combination of 2 or more mentions that cover a specific theme


Total mentions <3% are not shown

Not asked prior to 2016

What are the top three areas where [too little; you would like The City to / right amount: The City should] provide more information?
 Base: Valid respondents who say they receive too little or just the right amount of information (City Wide: n=990 / Ward 4: n=165)



Overall Satisfaction with Quality of City Information and Communications



And how satisfied are you with the overall quality of City information and communications?

Base: Valid respondents (City Wide: n=2,489 / Ward 4: n=190)

*Rounding



Demographics





Demographics

Gender

	City Wide	Ward 4
Male	50%	47%
Female	50%	53%

Education

	City Wide	Ward 4
Completed high school or less	18%	16%
Some post secondary or completed a college diploma	34%	42%↑
Completed university degree or post-grad degree	48%	42%

Age

	City Wide	Ward 4
18 to 24	12%	16%
25 to 34	22%	24%
35 to 44	18%	11%↓
45 to 54	20%	19%
55 to 64	13%	19%
65 or older	14%	12%
<i>Mean</i>	44.5	43.8

Income

	City Wide	Ward 4
Less than \$30,000	7%	8%
\$30,000 to <\$45,000	9%	11%
\$45,000 to <\$60,000	10%	9%
\$60,000 to <\$75,000	9%	15%↑
\$75,000 to <\$90,000	9%	11%
\$90,000 to <\$105,000	11%	8%
\$105,000 to <\$120,000	11%	9%
\$120,000 to <\$150,000	13%	14%
\$150,000 or more	23%	15%↓

Base: Valid respondents



Household Characteristics

Tenure in Calgary

	City Wide	Ward 4
Less than 5 years	9%	10%
5 to less than 10 years	10%	5%↓
10 to less than 15 years	12%	10%
15 to less than 20 years	14%	17%
20 to less than 30 years	19%	24%↑
30 to less than 40 years	15%	16%
40 or more	21%	19%
<i>Mean</i>	<i>25 years</i>	<i>26 years</i>

Household Size

	City Wide	Ward 4
1	13%	9%
2	32%	31%
3	19%	20%
4	23%	22%
5 or more	13%	17%
<i>Mean</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>3.1</i>

Children and Seniors in Household

	City Wide	Ward 4
Yes - Children	37%	30%↓
Yes - Seniors	16%	15%

Type of Home

	City Wide	Ward 4
Single-detached house	72%	79%
Apartment or apartment-style condominium	12%	5%↓
Townhouse or rowhouse	7%	7%
Duplex, triplex or fourplex	8%	9%
Another type of multi-dwelling unit	1%	0%

Own or Rent

	City Wide	Ward 4
Own	77%	74%
Rent	20%	21%
Other	1%	2%↑
Neither	2%	3%

Responsible for Property Taxes

	City Wide	Ward 4
Yes	84%	79%
No	16%	21%

Base: Valid respondents



Respondent Characteristics

Born in Canada

	City Wide	Ward 4
Yes	72%	70%
No	28%	30%

Age Left Country of Birth

Base: Not born in Canada	City Wide (n=626)	Ward 4 (n=52)
Under the age of 12	27%	20%
12 to 17	10%	21%↑
18 or older	62%	60%

Ethnic Background

	City Wide	Ward 4
Caucasian/ white	22%	23%
British	20%	18%
Canadian/ French Canadian	18%	12%
Western European	11%	11%
Southern or Eastern European	11%	12%
East or Southeast Asian	10%	17%↑
South Asian	7%	7%
Central/ South American or Caribbean	3%	1%
West Asian or Middle Eastern	2%	1%
African	2%	1%
Aboriginal/ First Nations/ Metis	1%	1%

Disability

	City Wide	Ward 4
Yes	13%	17%
No	87%	83%

Visible Minority

	City Wide	Ward 4
Yes	22%	23%
No	78%	77%

Base: Valid respondents

Contact

Jamie Duncan

Vice President

Ipsos Public Affairs

587.952.4863

email: jamie.duncan@ipsos.com

Sheela Das

Director

Ipsos Public Affairs

587.952.4874

email: sheela.das@ipsos.com