



# SOUTH CALGARY/ALTADORE

## Area Redevelopment Plan



THE CITY OF  
**CALGARY**



The Blue Pages of this document contain supporting information and do not form part of the bylaw.

For the purposes of electronic publications the Blue Pages are identified by the footer “Blue Pages - Supporting Information”.

## **OFFICE CONSOLIDATION**

**2023 June,  
2023 September,  
2023 October,  
2023 November,  
2023 December**

# **South Calgary/Altadore**

## **Area Redevelopment Plan**

**Bylaw 13P86**  
**Approved 1986 September**

2017-0095



## Office Consolidation

NOTE: This office consolidation includes the following amending Bylaws:

Amendment	Bylaw	Date	Description
1	20P86	1987 September 14	a) Section 2.4.2 b) Replace Map 2 (Superceded by Bylaws 18P87, 31P94, 18P98, 9P2000, 9P2003, 5P2005, 3P2006)
2	18P87	1987 November 9	a) Section 2.3.6 b) Section 2.4.2 5a & 9 c) Section 3.3.3 deleted d) Section 3.4.2 e) Replace Map 2 (Superceded by Bylaws 31P94, 18P98, 9P2000, 9P2003, 5P2005, 3P2006)
3	2P88	1988 February 1	a) Section 2.3.6 deleted b) Section 2.4.2 Site 10 deleted
4	14P90	1990 July 15	a) Section 2.2(a) b) Section 2.3.1 c) Section 2.4.1
5	31P94	1994 December 12	a) Section 2.4.2 Site 8a b) Replace Map 2 (Superceded by Bylaws 18P98, 9P2000, 9P2003, 5P2005, 3P2006)
6	18P98	1998 July 27	a) Section 2.4.2 Site 14a b) Replace Map 2 (Superceded by Bylaws 9P2000, 9P2003, 5P2005, 3P2006) c) Replace Map 3 (Superceded by Bylaws 9P2000, 9P2003, 5P2005, 3P2006)
7	9P2000	2008 May 8	a) Section 2.4.2 Site 10b b) Replace Maps 2 & 3 (Superceded by Bylaws 9P2003, 5P2005, 3P2006) c) Section 3.0 Add text d) Section 2.4.2 Change Site 14a to 8b
8	9P2003	2003 July 21	a) Replace Map 2 (Superceded by Bylaws 5P2005, 3P2006) b) Section 2.4.2 Add text c) Replace Map 3 (Superceded by Bylaws 5P2005, 3P2006)
9	5P2005	2005 February 15	a) Add Site 8C to Table in 2.4.2 b) Replace Map 2 c) Replace Map 3
10	3P2006	2006 March 20	a) Replace Map 2 b) Add 10(d) to Table in subsection 2.4.2
11	17P2008	2008 April 14	a) Replace Map 2
12	43P2008	2008 June 1	a) Add text to Preface b) Delete and replace text in Section 2.4.1 Development Guidelines
13	55P2008	2009 March 9	a) Delete and Replace Map 2 b) Add Site 10(e) to Table in Subsection 2.4.2
14	28P2010	2010 July 05	a) Delete and replace Map 2 b) Add Site 18 to Table in subsection 3.4.2.
15	29P2011	2011 July 04	a) Delete and replace Map 2 b) Add Site 19 to Table in subsection 3.4.2
16	6P2013	2013 February 11	a) Delete and replace text in Section 4.2 b) Delete and replace text in Section 4.2 c) Delete and replace Map 4 d) Delete and replace text in Section 5.2 e) Insert new Section 4.0 and renumber accordingly
17	5P2014	2014 March 10	a) Delete text in Summary b) Delete text in Summary c) Delete and replace text in Section 1.1

Amendment	Bylaw	Date	Description
17 cont'd			d) Delete and replace Map 1 e) Add text in Section 2.2 f) Add Map 2 entitled "Land Use Policy" g) Delete and replace text in Section 2.4.2 h) Delete Map 2 and replace with revised Map 3 i) Delete text in Section 2.4.2 j) Delete text in Section 3.2 k) Delete text in Section 3.2 l) Delete Map 3 m) Delete subsection 3.3.3 n) Delete text from table in Section 3.4 o) Delete Section 3.4.2 text p) Delete and replace Map 4 q) Delete text in Section 7.2 r) Delete and replace Map 5 s) Delete text in Section 8.2.2
18	9P2014	2014 May 12	a) Delete and replace Map 2
19	39P2014	2014 December 8	a) Delete and replace Map 1 b) Delete and replace Map 2 c) Delete and replace Map 3 d) Delete and replace Map 4 e) Delete and replace Map 5
20	11P2015	2015 April 13	a) Delete and replace Map 2
21	17P2015	2015 June 15	a) Delete and replace Map 2
22	4P2016	2016 January 11	a) Delete and replace Map 2
23	30P2016	2016 July 4	a) Delete and replace Map 2
24	31P2016	2016 July 4 <i>Signed: 2106 July 13</i>	a) Delete and replace Map 2
25	8P2017	2017 February 13	a) Delete and replace Map 2
26	34P2017	2017 July 4	a) Delete and replace Map 1 b) Delete and replace Map 2 c) Delete and replace Map 3 d) Delete and replace Map 4 e) Delete and replace Map 5
27	44P2017	2017 August 2	a) Insert new (e) section after (d) in Section 2.2 b) Insert new 2.37 subsection section after 2.3.6 c) Delete and replace Map 2 d) Add MU-1 District to table in subsection 2.4.1
28	67P2017	2017 December 11	a) Delete and replace Map 2 b) Revise table in Section 2.4.1 to add item 3 under Low Density Multi Unit
29	4P2018	2018 March 19	a) Delete and replace Map 2
30	20P2018	2018 March 13	a) Delete and replace Map 2
31	21P2018	2018 March 13 <i>Signed: 2018 March 28</i>	a) Delete and replace Map 2
32	27P2018	2018 April 16	a) Delete and replace Map 2
33	36P2018	2018 June 11	a) Delete and replace Map 2
34	41P2018	2018 July 23	a) Amend Map 2 - Plan 1942AD, GBlock 15, Lots 13 to 15 - change to Residential Low Density
35	45P2018	2018 July 23	a) Amend Map 2 - Plan 4479P, Block 23, Lots 19 and 20 - change to Residential Medium Density
36	48P2018	2018 July 23	a) Amend Map 2 - Plan 4479P, Block 49, Lots 19 and 20 - change to Residential Low Density

<b>Amendment</b>	<b>Bylaw</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>
37	76P2018	2018 November 12	a) Amend Map 2 - Plan 4690N, Block B, Lots 13 and 14 - change to Residential Low Density
38	77P2018	2018 November 12	a) Amend Map 2 - Plan 2230AK, Lots 23 and 24 - change to Residential Low Density
39	12P2019	2019 February 4	a) Amend Map 2 - Plan 1410714, Block 20, Lot 33 to 34 - change to Residential Low Density
40	13P2019	2019 February 25	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.08 hectares ± (0.21 acres ±) located at 4303 - 16 Street SW (Plan 85AG, Block 4, Lots 22 - 24) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
41	18P2019	2019 February 25	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.09 ± (0.21 acres ±) located at 3725 - 14 Street SW (Plan 1965P, Block A, Lots 11 to 13) from Residential Conservation to Residential Medium Density
42	31P2019	2019 April 29	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy', by changing 0.17 hectares ± (0.43 acres ±) located at 1831, 1835, and 1839 - 33 Avenue (Plan 4479P, Block 67, Lots 21 to 26) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Community Mid-Rise'
43	37P2019	2019 May 27	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy', by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 2040 - 32 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P, Block 51, Lots 19 and 20) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
44	38P2019	2019 May 27	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy', by changing 0.05 hectares ± (0.12 acres ±) located at 1915 - 40 Avenue SW (Plan 5641S, Block B, Lots 1 and 2) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
44	40P2019	2019 May 27	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy', by changing 0.12 hectares ± (0.29 acre ±) located at 1703 and 1707 - 31 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P; Block 48; Lots 37 to 40) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
45	84P2019	2019 December 16	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' (Plan 2869AC, Block A, Lots 23 and 24) change to 'Residential Low Density'.
46	4P2020	2020 February 3	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy'.
47	31P2020	2020 July 20	a) Under Section 2.3 Policy, policy 2.3.4, at the end of paragraph, add new sentence
48	36P2020	2020 September 14	a) Under Section 2.3 Policy, policy 2.3.4, in the second sentence add the following address
49	41P2020	2020 September 14	a) Under Section 2.3 Policy, policy 2.3.4, in the second sentence add the following address
50	45P2020	2020 October 5	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy', by changing 0.17 hectares ± (0.42 acres ±) located at 1632, 1636 and 1640 - 33 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P, Block 62, Lots 15-20) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Community Mid-Rise'
51	7P2021	2020 February 8	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy', by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.14 acres ±) located at 5004 - 21 Street SW (Plan 1720AJ, Block 18, Lots 1 and 2) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
52	39P2021	2021 July 26	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.14 acres ±) located at 1704 - 37 Avenue SW (Plan 149BC, Block 16, Lots 47 and 48) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
53	45P2021	2021 July 27	(a) Amend Map 2 entitles 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.05 hectares ± (0.12 acres ±) located at 1628 - 33 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P, Block 62, Lots 13 and 14) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Community Mid-Rise'

Amendment	Bylaw	Date	Description
54	60P2021	2021 November 15	(a) In Section 4.0 King Edward School Site, subsection 4.3.3 Medium Density, add the following policy: (j) Restaurant and outdoor cafe uses may be considered appropriate within a residential development. Permanent exterior signage or advertisement is discouraged, particularly on exterior facades facing adjacent residential development."
55	62P2021	2021 November 15	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 1704 – 48 Avenue SW (Plan 3286GN, Lot1) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
56	12P2022	2022 March 08	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 2050 – 45 Avenue SW (Plan 5860AM, Block 9, Lots 24 and 25) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
57	13P2022	2022 March 08	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 1801 – 33 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P, Block 67, Lots 39 and 40) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
58	17P2022	2022 April 12	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.14 acres ±) located at 3719 – 14 Street SW (Plan 1965P, Block A, Lots 9 and 10) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Medium Density'
59	18P2022	2022 April 12	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.14 acres ±) located at 1531 – 33 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P, Block 64, Lots 25 and 26) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Medium Density'
60	23P2022	2022 May 10	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres±) located at 2049 – 43 Avenue SW (Plan 7100AK, Block 8, Lots 1 and 2) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
61	42P2022	2022 July 26	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.15 acres ±) located at 1603 – 38 Avenue SW (Plan 4890AG, Block A, Lots 25 and 26) from 'Residential Low Density' to 'Residential Medium Density'
62	2P2023	2023 January 17	(a) In Section 2.3 Policy, subsection 2.3.4, at the end of the paragraph add the following: "For the sites located at 3714, 3718, 3722 and 3726 – 14A Street SW the M-CG designation is considered appropriate." (b) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.20 hectares ± (0.50 acres ±) located at 3714, 3718, 3722 and 3726 – 14A Street SW (Plan 1965P; Block A, Lots 16-22) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Medium Density'
63	12P2023	2023 February 7	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.12 hectares ± (0.30 acres ±) located at 1615 and 1619 – 33 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P; Block 65; Lots 31 to 34) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Medium Density'
64	17P2023	2023 April 4	(a) Delete and replace Map 1 (b) Delete and replace Map 2 (c) Delete and replace Map 3 (d) Delete and replace Map 4 (e) Delete and replace Map 5

Amendment	Bylaw	Date	Description
65	23P2023	2023 May 16	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ±(0.14 acres±) located at 3715 – 14 Street SW (Plan 1965P; Block A, Lots 7 and 8) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
66	30P2023	2023 June 29	(a) In Section 2.3 Policy, delete subsection 2.3.4 and replace with the new content
67	35P2023	2023 July 26 (	a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.11 hectares ± (0.28acres ±) located at 1743 and 1747 – 36 Avenue SW (Plan 1497BC, Block 16, Lots 21 to 24) from 'Residential Low Density' to 'Residential Medium Density'
68	61P2023	2023 September 19	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.13 hectares ± (0.32 acres±) located at 3803 and 3823 – 19 Street SW (Plan 4530AC, Block 6, Lots 1 to 4) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
69	62P2023	2023 September 19	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.07 hectares ± (0.17 acres±) located at 1720 – 33 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P; Block 61; Lots 9 and 10 and portion of Lot 11) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Medium Density'
70	68P2023	2023 October 3	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.14acres ±) located at 1512 – 29 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P, Block 27, Lots 5 and 6) from 'Residential Low Density' to 'General Commercial'
71	70P2023	2023 October 3	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.06 hectares ± (0.14 acres ±) located at 3719 – 14A Street SW (Plan 1965P, Block B, Lots 9 and 10) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
72	72P2023	2023 OCTOBER 3	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.07 hectares ± (0.16 acres±) located at 2008 – 28 Avenue SW (Plan 4479P, Block 15, Lot 4, a portion of Lot3 and a portion of Lot 5) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
73	75P2023	2023 November 14	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.12 hectares ± (0.28 acres±) located at 3903 and 3907 – 16 Street SW (Plan 1497BC, Block 18A, Lots 1 to 4) from 'Residential Conservation' to 'Residential Low Density'
74	89P2023	2023 December 5	(a) Amend Map 2 entitled 'Land Use Policy' by changing 0.07 hectares ± (0.16 acres ±) located at 2039 – 34 Avenue SW (Plan 4530AC, Block 3, Lots 19 to 20) from 'Residential Medium Density' to 'Community Mid-Rise'

Amended portions of the text are printed in *italics* and the specific amending Bylaw is noted.

Persons making use of this consolidation are reminded that it has no legislative sanction, and that amendments have been embodied for ease of reference only. The official Bylaw and all amendments thereto are available from the City Clerk and should be consulted when interpreting and applying this Bylaw.



## **PUBLISHING INFORMATION**

**TITLE:** SOUTH CALGARY/ALTADORE AREA REDEVELOPMENT PLAN  
**AUTHOR:** CITY AND COMMUNITY PLANNING DIVISION  
**STATUS:** APPROVED - 1986 SEPTEMBER 29  
BYLAW 13P86  
**PRINTING DATE:** 2014 JUNE (UPDATED 2017 JULY)  
**ADDITIONAL COPIES:** THE CITY OF CALGARY  
RECORDS & INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (RIM)  
DEVELOPMENT & BUSINESS APPROVALS  
P.O. BOX 2100, STN "M", #8115  
CALGARY, ALBERTA T2P 2M5  
**PHONE:** 3-1-1 OR OUTSIDE OF CALGARY 403-268-2489  
**FAX:** 403-268-4615  
**WEB:** [www.calgary.ca/planning](http://www.calgary.ca/planning)  
**CLICK ON:** Publications

# South Calgary/Altadore Area Redevelopment Plan

## Table of Contents

	PAGE		PAGE
<b>PREFACE</b> .....	1	<b>5.0 OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION</b> .....	25
<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	2	5.1 Objective .....	25
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	4	5.2 Context.....	25
1.1 Study Boundaries .....	4	5.3 Policy.....	25
1.2 Goals .....	4	5.4 Implementation .....	26
<b>2.0 RESIDENTIAL LAND USE</b> .....	6	<b>6.0 SCHOOL FACILITIES</b> .....	28
2.1 Objective .....	6	6.1 Objective .....	28
2.2 Context.....	6	6.2 Context.....	28
2.3 Policy.....	8	6.3 Policy.....	29
2.4 Implementation .....	10	6.4 Implementation .....	29
<b>3.0 COMMERCIAL LAND USE</b> .....	17	<b>7.0 TRANSPORTATION</b> .....	30
3.1 Objective .....	17	7.1 Objective .....	30
3.2 Context.....	17	7.2 Context.....	30
3.3 Policy.....	17	7.3 Policy.....	30
3.4 Implementation .....	18	7.4 Implementation .....	32
<b>4.0 KING EDWARD SCHOOL SITE</b> .....	19	<b>8.0 SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS</b> .....	33
4.1 Objective .....	19	8.1 Objective .....	33
4.2 Context.....	19	8.2 Context.....	33
4.3 Policy.....	21	8.3 Policy.....	32
		8.4 Implementation .....	34

**LIST OF MAPS**

<b>MAP</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1. Study Area .....	5
2. Land Use Policy.....	9
3. Sites Requiring Implementation Action.....	15
4. Area School Facilities and Park/Open Space .....	27
5. Transportation System .....	31

## PREFACE

Area Redevelopment Plans (A.R.P.s) are planning documents, adopted by Bylaw, which set out a comprehensive program of land use policies and other planning proposals that help to determine and guide the future of individual communities within the City. As such, an A.R.P. is intended to supplement the Land Use Bylaw by providing a local policy context and, where appropriate, specific land use and development guidelines, on which the Approving Authority can base its judgment when deciding on community planning-related proposals. While the districts under the Land Use Bylaw apply uniformly throughout the City, an A.R.P. provides a community perspective to both the existing land use districts as well as to proposed redesignations of specific sites within a community.

The expected life of the South Calgary/Altadore A.R.P. is ten to fifteen years. This may vary in relation to general growth trends within the City or to specific trends in South Calgary/Altadore. It is important, therefore, that an evaluation of the Plan's effectiveness in meeting its objectives be undertaken within five years of its approval.

*Note: This A.R.P. was adopted by Council when the City of Calgary Land Use Bylaw 2P80 ("2P80") was in effect. As a result, the A.R.P. references land use districts both in its text and its maps which are no longer current. New land use districts have been applied to all parcels in the City, pursuant to the City of Calgary Land Use Bylaw 1P2007 ("1P2007"), effective June 1, 2008, which transitioned 2P80 districts to the most similar 1P2007 district. Therefore, it is important for the user of this A.R.P. to consult the new land use maps associated with 1P2007 to determine what the actual land use designation of a general area or specific site would be. Any development permit applications will be processed pursuant to the districts and development rules set out in 1P2007.*

*Notwithstanding the foregoing, the user should be aware that where the A.R.P. guidelines and policies reference a 2P80 district in the A.R.P., the same guidelines and policies will be applicable to those lands identified by the district on an ongoing basis and must be considered by the approving authority in its decision making, notwithstanding that the 2P80 districts, strictly speaking have no further force and effect.*

**Bylaw 43P2008**

## SUMMARY

The Inner City Plan approved by City Council on May 7, 1979 recommended that selected inner city communities that had no approved planning policy, have Area Redevelopment Plans prepared and implemented to provide a planning strategy for each individual community's future. South Calgary/Altadore is one of those areas. Further, revisions to the Calgary General Municipal Plan in 1981 included this area as one suitable for an Area Redevelopment Plan.

Using the Council approved policies in the Calgary General Municipal Plan and the Inner City Plan as a planning framework, the South Calgary/Altadore A.R.P. reaffirms the policy of conservation and infill for the majority of South Calgary/Altadore and revitalization for specific portions of the community.

The policies in the South Calgary/Altadore A.R.P. can be summarized as follows:

### Residential Land Use

- The conservation and infill policy for South Calgary/Altadore is reaffirmed under the R-1 and R-2 districts, encouraging the retention of existing dwellings in good repair while providing for infill development that is compatible in character and scale with existing dwellings.
- A low density policy under the RM-2 district will apply to certain areas in the community with the aim of further providing for variety in low profile family-oriented development and as a transition between higher RM-4 densities and single and two family housing.
- The policy of providing for a variety of housing opportunities within a medium density range under the existing RM-4 district is reaffirmed. *Deleted.*

***Bylaw 5P2014***

---

## Commercial Land Use

- The majority of local commercial areas under the C-1 designation are retained.
- *Deleted.*

*Bylaw 5P2014*

## Open Space and Recreation Facilities

- In general, the existing open space is adequate and appropriately located except as noted below. Flexibility in the use of that space to take account of changing community demographics and circumstances will be encouraged.
- The community, in consultation with the Federation of Calgary Communities and the Parks/Recreation Department, is in the process of conducting a study which in part reviews possible uses for the portion of South Calgary Park at 14<sup>th</sup> Street and 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue which was once the site of the Kinsman Arena.

## School Facilities

- The Plan encourages the provision of elementary education opportunities as close to the community as possible.
- Should either the Clinton Ford Elementary School or Dr. Oakley Centre be declared surplus by the School Board, the City should consider the acquisition of a portion of each site to ensure adequate supply and distribution of open space in the community.

## Transportation

- The roadways within the community will retain their existing designations.

## Social Needs

- Given the large proportion of senior citizens in this community relative to Calgary as a whole, attention should be given to the provision of multi-unit housing for them in the community.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Study Boundaries

*The boundaries of the South Calgary/Altadore A.R.P. are illustrated in Map 1 (Study Area).*



***Bylaw 5P2014***

### 1.2 Goals

The goals of the South Calgary/Altadore A.R.P. are:

- To implement the policies of the Calgary General Municipal Plan and the Inner City Plan as they apply to South Calgary/Altadore.
- To promote the preservation and rehabilitation of the existing low-density residential accommodation in South Calgary/ Altadore to encourage stability in the area.

- To encourage redevelopment where appropriate that is sensitive to the existing community and which provides the opportunity for a variety of population age-groups, household types and incomes to reside in the area.
- To ensure compatible transition among various land uses in the area.
- To promote commercial activity that is compatible with community needs and appropriately situated to serve the community.
- To optimize the quality and type of recreational and open space facilities in the community and to ensure that these facilities adequately provide for the changing character of the population in this area.




# Map 1 Study Area

Approved: 13P86  
Amended: 17P2023

## Legend

 Study Area Boundary



This map is conceptual only. No measurements of distances or areas should be taken from this map.

## 2.0 RESIDENTIAL LAND USE

### 2.1 Objective:

To preserve and enhance the established residential character of the community while identifying where compatible infill development may be accommodated.

### 2.2 Context

This objective is intended to achieve the goal of encouraging stability in the area. The residential character that may encourage this stability is one of predominantly single-family housing with a much smaller component of converted structures (houses with suites), duplexes and apartment units.

As discussed in the Community Profile Section of the Supporting Information, the proportion of housing types in the study area has changed somewhat since 1975. This change has been from a predominantly single-family area to one where a quarter of the dwelling units are converted structures and only 42 percent are single-family homes.

Policies in this Section are aimed at maintaining stability in the area through redesignations that more accurately reflect what exists and which establish a more sensitive interface between land uses.

Four types of residential land use are outlined for South Calgary/Altadore as described here and illustrated in Map 2: **Bylaw 5P2014**

#### (a) Conservation and Infill

The intent of the conservation and infill policy is to improve existing neighbourhood quality and character while permitting low profile infill development that is compatible with surrounding dwellings. Existing structures in good repair should be conserved, while structures in poor repair should be rehabilitated or replaced. *Narrow lot (7.5 metres/25 foot) infill dwellings should be of a design that would encourage families with children to move into them.* **Bylaw 14P90**

**(b) Low Density Multi-Unit**

This residential type provides for low profile family-oriented redevelopment.

Under the RM-2 designation, development must provide direct access to grade or to landscaped areas as well as a proportion of units with two or more bedrooms. Townhousing and stacked townhousing would be particularly appropriate.

Both the conservation and infill and the low density policies would help achieve the maintenance of family-type accommodation which will help maintain the stability of the area.

**(c) Medium Density RM4/75**

In some areas, where redesignation to RM-2 is appropriate, there are pockets of existing RM-4 development. In many cases, this development is at a similar density as RM-2, but cannot be redesignated as such since they do not fit some of the RM-2 Use Rules. To maintain this lower density without creating non-conforming uses, the RM-4/75 designation is appropriate.

**(d) Medium Density**

Redevelopment with a variety of housing types attractive not only to single adults and childless couples, but families and seniors as well, is appropriate at certain locations in the community. Accordingly, a medium density policy which allows for apartment type development is provided for in the area.

City Council approved a motion requesting that the Federal Government include South Calgary/Altadore as a R.R.A.P. (Residential Rehabilitation Assistance Program ) area. However, in 1986 the program was modified so that specific communities do not have to be listed. Homeowners living anywhere in the City may now apply for assistance.

**(e) Community Mid-Rise**

Provides for mid-rise building types (up to six storeys) that may accommodate a range of retail, services, office, and residential uses. Community Mid-Rise is appropriate to accommodate mixed-use buildings (horizontal and vertical mixed-use). The MU-1 designation is appropriate for larger parcels on Neighbourhood Main Streets adjacent to low density residential development.

*Bylaw 44P2017*

## 2.3 Policy

- 2.3.1 The conservation policy of the Inner City Plan is reaffirmed for the majority of the community utilizing the R-1 and R-2 designations. *Where narrow lot (7.5 metres/25 foot) infill dwellings are developed in the R-2 areas, those containing more than two bedrooms are preferred as a means of attracting families to the area.* **Bylaw 14P90**
- 2.3.2 A low density multi-unit policy utilizing the RM-2 designation will create an appropriate transition between existing RM-4 and R-1/R-2 areas. The maximum density under this designation cannot exceed 75 units per hectare (29 units per acre).
- 2.3.3 An RM-4/75 policy is appropriate in certain locations where the RM-4 built form is at a low density and where it is desirable to maintain that density to conform to redesignated RM-2 sites around them.


- 2.3.4 *A medium density policy is appropriate for parts of the community to encourage redevelopment with a variety of unit types using the RM-4 designation and is encouraged to locate around activity nodes (i.e., commercial areas) or along the more major roads in the area (i.e., 26 Avenue SW). The M-CG designation is considered appropriate for the sites located at:*
- 3730 – 14A Street SW.
- The M-C2 designation is considered appropriate for the sites located at:*
- 3511 – 15A Street SW;
  - 3711 – 15 Street SW;
  - 1823, 1831, and 1835 – 28 Avenue SW;
  - 2121 and 2127 – 35 Avenue SW; and
  - 2116, 2120, and 2124 – 36 Avenue SW.
- Bylaw 36P2020, Bylaw 41P2020, Bylaw 2P2023, Bylaw 30P2023**
- 2.3.5 Utility upgrading and other public improvements may be required as redevelopment occurs and the costs associated with such upgrading shall be the responsibility of the developer in accordance with City policy.
- 2.3.6 *Deleted* **Bylaw 2P88**  
*Amended* **Bylaw 18P87**
- 2.3.7 Encourage a variety of housing types through the use of mid-rise buildings that may also include retail, services, and office uses along Neighbourhood Main Streets (i.e., 14 Street S.W. and 33 Avenue S.W.). **Bylaw 44P2017**

# Map 2

## Land Use Policy


### Legend

- Study Area Boundary
- King Edward School Site
- Residential Conservation
- Residential Low Density
- Residential Medium Density
- Community Mid-Rise
- Local Commercial
- General Commercial
- Open Space



Approved: 13P86  
Amended: 35P2023, 37P2023, 61P2023, 62P2023  
68P2023 70P2023, 72P2023, 75P2023,  
89P2023

This map is conceptual only. No measurements of distances or areas should be taken from this map.



## 2.4 Implementation

### 2.4.1 Development Guidelines

To reflect the intent of the residential land use policies, the following guidelines are to be considered by the Approving Authority in reviewing applications:

District	Land Use Policy	Development Guidelines
R-1 and R-2	Conservation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• R-1 and R-2 rules as contained in the Land Use Bylaw to apply.</li> <li>• No additional guidelines.</li> <li>• <i>When the Approving Authority is reviewing a discretionary use development permit for low density residential, it will consider the Low Density Residential Housing Guidelines for Established Communities to assist in ensuring compatibility of the proposed development, both in terms of character and scale, with the surrounding area.</i> <b>Bylaw 43P2008</b></li> </ul> <p><i>In addition, the preferred form of infill development in this community should contain more than two bedrooms.</i> <b>Bylaw 14P90</b></p>
RM-2	Low Density Multi Unit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To demonstrate compatibility of new development with surrounding development, the following is to be encouraged:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. For single and two-family dwellings, development guidelines as in R-1 and R-2 above.</li> <li>2. For multi family development:                   <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) front yard setback similar to surrounding properties;</li> <li>(b) front yards used as landscaped space or amenity area and not for provision of parking;</li> <li>(c) retention of existing mature vegetation wherever possible;</li> <li>(d) front building entry;</li> <li>(e) berming or raised planting beds in combination with trees, shrubs and fences to screen surface parking and amenity areas;</li> <li>(f) compatible roof line, orientation and slope;</li> <li>(g) building finishing materials, colour, design detail, and facade articulation respecting existing building character;</li> <li>(h) provision of 1.25 resident parking spaces and .15 visitor parking spaces per dwelling unit.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> </ul>



District	Land Use Policy	Development Guidelines
MU-1	Community Mid-Rise	<p>The following is to be encouraged:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. provision of residential Development that has: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a mix of unit sizes and types to provide diversity in housing choice;</li> <li>(b) upper storeys massed and stepped back to minimize the appearance of scale and reduce shadow impacts where appropriate;</li> <li>(c) common amenity spaces that allow for social and communal activities;</li> <li>(d) residential uses at ground level with front entrances facing the street;</li> <li>(e) design features and spaces such as raised terraces, porches, steps , alcoves, forecourts or landscaping to provide a transition from the public street and sidewalk to at-grade residences.</li> </ol> </li>   <li>2. provision of commercial development that has: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) active and ground-oriented uses at grade, such as retail uses that wrap the building edge along the lane or flanking street;</li> <li>(b) commercial entrances distinguished from residential entrances (i.e., by elevating the residential entry, change in materials, integrated signage);</li> <li>(c) finer-grained building frontage with uses for developments that face a primary pedestrian street.</li> </ol> </li>   <li>3. provision of a building design that has: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) buildings oriented to the street to create a safe, comfortable pedestrian environment;</li> <li>(b) setbacks and building separation minimizing wind, sunlight and privacy impacts;</li> <li>(c) amenity space for building occupants;</li> <li>(d) neighbourhood scaled transitions, appropriate to the context of an individual neighbourhood; and</li> <li>(e) narrower frontages to increase visual interest for pedestrians and to avoid long street walls with only single access points.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>

District	Land Use Policy	Development Guidelines
MU-1	Community Mid-Rise	<p>4. provision of materials, detailing and entries with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) high quality, durable exterior building materials and varied architectural materials that enhance and articulate street frontages;</li> <li>(b) canopies and awnings along street frontages;</li> <li>(c) primary entrances and prominent corners of mid-rise and higher intensity buildings, that are architecturally distinct, emphasizing a pedestrian scale and be clearly articulated on the street facing building;</li> <li>(d) building entrances and accesses that face the street where transit service is provided;</li> <li>(e) pedestrian entries to parking structures that are convenient and easy to find.</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Bylaw 44P2017</i></p>

**2.4.2 Action Required**

To implement the residential policies, the following actions are required (see Map 3):

**Bylaw 5P2014**


Site	Existing Designation	Land Use Policy	Proposed Designation/Implementation
1.	RM-4	Residential Conservation/Infill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites to be redesignated to R-2 to reflect the existing built form and to conform with surrounding uses.</li> </ul>
2.	D.C. R-2A & R-2	Residential Low Density Multi Unit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites to be redesignated to RM-2 to conform to sites south of them and as a transition between RM-4 to the west and R-2 to the east.</li> </ul>
3.	RM-4	Residential Conservation/Infill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites to be redesignated to R-2 to reflect the existing built form and to conform to surrounding uses.</li> </ul>
4.	RM-4	Residential Low Density Multi Unit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites to be redesignated to RM-2 in response to request by the landowners on these blocks and to provide a transition between RM-4 to the north and R-2 to the south except for sites cross hatched which are to be redesignated RM 4/75 for the same reasons.</li> </ul>
4(a).	<i>RM-4</i>	<i>Direct Control</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Site to be redesignated Direct Control to allow an RM-4 type development in conformity with the drawings submitted to Council by the owner in December 1986.</i></li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Bylaw 20P86</b></p>
5.	RM-4	Residential Low Density Multi Unit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sites to be redesignated to RM-2 in response to request by the landowners on these blocks and to recognize the existing built form and to provide a transition between RM-4 to the north and R-2 to the south.</li> </ul>
5(a).	<i>RM-4</i>	<i>Residential Medium Density</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Site to be redesignated to RM-4/100 to closer approximate the densities allowed on surrounding RM-2 lands.</i></li> </ul> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Bylaw 18P87</b></p>

# Map 3

## Sites Requiring Implementation Action


### Legend

-  Study Area Boundary
-  Site Number (See Action Required Sections 2.4.2 & 3.4.2)
-  RM-4/75



Approved: 13P86  
Amended: 17P2023

This map is conceptual only. No measurements of distances or areas should be taken from this map.



Site	Existing Designation	Land Use Policy	Proposed Designation/Implementation
6.	RM-4	Residential Low Density Multi Unit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites to be redesignated to RM-2 to respond to a request to do so by the community and to provide a transition between C-1 commercial uses and R-2 residential areas except for sites cross hatched which are to be redesignated RM-4/75 for the same reasons.</li> </ul>
7.	RM-4	Residential Medium Density	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites to be redesignated to RM-2 to act as a transition between C-1 commercial uses, the RM-4 medium density uses and the low density R-1 area.</li> </ul>
8.	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deleted.</i> <b>Bylaw 5P2014</b></li> </ul>
8 (a).	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deleted.</i> <b>Bylaw 31P94, 5P2014</b></li> </ul>
8 (b).	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deleted.</i> <b>Bylaw 18P98, 9P2000, 5P2014</b></li> </ul>
8 (c).	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deleted.</i> <b>Bylaw 5P2005, 5P2014</b></li> </ul>
9.	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Deleted.</i> <b>Bylaw 18P87, 5P2014</b></li> </ul>
10.	RM-4	Residential Medium Density	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites to be redesignated RM-4/100 to more closely approximate surrounding low density residential uses. <b>Bylaw 2P88</b></li> </ul>
10 (a).	C-1	Direct Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Site to be redesignated Direct Control, with RM-4 guidelines, and the addition of the existing automotive use as a discretionary use, to allow the existing use to operate, but to recognize the more desirable long term residential use of the property. <b>Bylaw 20P86</b></li> </ul>
10 (b).	C-1	Residential Medium Density	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Site to be redesignated to RM-4 to allow for redevelopment with a variety of housing types at medium density. <b>Bylaw 9P2000</b></li> </ul>
10 (c).	RM-4	Mixed Use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Site to be redesignated to DC to allow for local commercial use on the main floor. <b>Bylaw 9P2003</b></li> </ul>
10 (d).	RM-4	Direct Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Site to be redesignated to Direct Control, with RM-4 Guidelines and the addition of a personal service (Esthetician business only) as discretionary use within the existing building. <b>Bylaw 3P2006</b></li> </ul>
10 (e).	R-C2 & DC	Direct Control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sites to be redesignated to Direct Control to allow for M-C1type redevelopment with an increase in density. <b>Bylaw 55P2008</b></li> </ul>

### 3.0 COMMERCIAL LAND USE

#### 3.1 Objective

To clearly establish the extent and role of commercial areas within the community, and to encourage commercial development that relates in appearance, scale and function to the surrounding residential area.

#### 3.2 Context

##### Local Commercial Uses

A number of commercial developments in South Calgary/Altadore serve local needs and are auto-oriented with minimal sidewalk pedestrian activity. There are five commercial areas in South Calgary/Altadore in this category:

- 14<sup>th</sup> Street and 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue;
- 16<sup>th</sup> Street and 40<sup>th</sup> Avenue;
- 16<sup>th</sup> Street and 48<sup>th</sup> Avenue;
- 20<sup>th</sup> Street and 42<sup>nd</sup> Avenue;
- 20<sup>th</sup> Street and 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

These nodes are not developed to the limits permitted under their classification and for the most part consist of local uses. Typically, development in these areas consists of one and two storey, flat roofed, stucco buildings containing personal service businesses such as banks, restaurants, dry cleaners and convenience stores. *Deleted. Bylaw 9P2000, 5P2014*

In addition, there are a few scattered offices and an automotive service facility in the community.

##### 14<sup>th</sup> Street Commercial Area

14<sup>th</sup> Street, which forms the eastern boundary of the study area in the north, is a commercialized strip between 26<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> Avenue S. with a variety of types and sizes of buildings. The commercial uses along here relate more to regional traffic using this major street, although some local uses exist.

With the exception of the two sites referred to in the residential section (Sites 7 and 10), the existing commercial areas within the community but outside the “commercial centre” are considered to be appropriately designated. The C-1 district and the uses cited above are considered to be appropriate for these areas.

*Deleted.*

*Bylaw 5P2014*

### 3.3 Policy

#### 3.3.1 Existing Local Commercial

For all commercial uses outside the “commercial centre” a local commercial designation is appropriate and is reaffirmed for the following reasons:

- (a) proximity to low-density residential development;
- (b) the local nature of the market being served;
- (c) to minimize traffic impacts in the areas.

**3.3.2 New Local Commercial**

No new local commercial areas, nor expansions to the existing local commercial areas are envisaged. This Plan would require amendment should such changes occur.

**3.3.3 Deleted. Bylaw 18P87, 5P2014**

**3.4 Implementation**

**3.4.1 Development Guidelines**

To reflect the intent of the commercial land use policies, the following guidelines are to be considered by the Approving Authority in reviewing applications:

<b>District</b>	<b>Land Use Policy</b>	<b>Development Guidelines</b>
C-1	Local Commercial	To promote compatibility of new development with adjacent residential and other developments, the following is to be encouraged:  1. parking and access ways located in front of development are to be appropriately screened (e.g. a combination of berming, fencing and landscaping);  2. where commercial backs onto residential property, every effort be made to separate commercial and residential traffic.
C-2(12)	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted. Bylaw 5P2014</i>
C-3(23)	<i>Deleted</i>	<i>Deleted. Bylaw 5P2014</i>

**3.4.2 Deleted. Bylaw 5P2014**

## 4.0 KING EDWARD SCHOOL SITE

### 4.1 Objective

To provide an opportunity for the development of a mixed-use area highlighted by the reuse of the historic King Edward School building as a centre for the arts, while also providing for multi-residential development on the eastern and western portions of the site that is sensitive to the existing community.

## 4.2 Context

The subject site is a former home of the King Edward Elementary/Junior High School originally constructed in 1912. The Calgary Board of Education closed the school in 2001 and the historic building has been vacant since 2005.

Four land use areas for the site are described below (Figure 1):




Figure 1: Land Use Concept

(a) *Arts Centre*

*The primary function of the Arts Centre is the preservation and adaptive reuse of the historic King Edward School building as an “Arts Incubator”, a collaborative gathering place focused on the incubation and advancement of arts practice. Within the restored historic school building, this Arts Incubator may include:*

- administrative areas related to cultural and arts activities;*
- production, display and maintenance of art and other cultural resources;*
- instruction and rehearsal of cultural and arts practices;*
- assembly of groups to participate in recreation, social and cultural activities;*
- ancillary retail, banquet, artistic, educational and live performance uses.*

*While the core operations of this multi-tenant Arts Incubator are intended to be accommodated in the original 1912 sandstone building and its future additions, it is envisioned that the remainder of the Arts Centre area will be developed with a mix of uses, including live work units, cultural related amenities and public spaces that reinforce the purpose, and enhance the sustainability of the Arts Incubator.*

(b) *Low Density Multi-Unit*

*The western portion of the site is intended to accommodate low density multi-residential development. Building form and intensity are to be responsive to the primarily low density residential nature of the existing neighbourhood.*

(c) *Medium Density*

*The eastern portion of the site is intended to accommodate a medium density type of residential development. It is anticipated that this portion of the site will be redeveloped in a comprehensive manner with up to four storey multi-residential development.*

(d) *Open Space*

*The intent of the open space is to provide for a passive urban park that is accessible and available for the use and enjoyment of the greater community. The location of the open space, in front of the historic building, allows the park to formally frame the façade and provide access to the King Edward School building.*

## **4.3 Policy**

### **4.3.1 Arts Centre**

- (a) *New development should include the Arts Incubator as well as related cultural activities including uses such as live work units, art galleries, artist studios, function tents or food kiosks.*
- (b) *In order to address potential impacts on the adjacent residential area the Development Authority may consider restrictions to outdoor activities.*
- (c) *Demolition of later portions of the existing building, rehabilitation of the original school building and new additions to the school building should be conducted to the satisfaction of the Heritage Approving Authority and align with best practices in heritage conservation, as per the Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Historic Places in Canada.*
- (d) *New development should not mimic or copy the historical architecture of the existing school building and should be of high quality design of its own time, worthy to be of a heritage value in its own right at a future time.*
- (e) *New additions to the historic building should be consistent in massing and height with the existing historic building.*
- (f) *The landmark character of the historic school building should be preserved in the redevelopment of the site.*
- (g) *Opportunities to further reflect the history of the site should be explored.*
- (h) *Parking for the Arts Incubator should be accommodated to the north of the historic building.*
- (i) *Parking for live-work units should be provided within a building.*

#### **4.3.2 Low Density Multi-Unit**

- (a) New development should be low density grade oriented multi-residential development such as low-rise apartments and townhouses.*
- (b) New development should be complementary in scale and character with the adjacent residential development.*
- (c) All units should front onto a street or an avenue and have an individual and direct pedestrian access from a public sidewalk.*
- (d) Building facades should emphasize individual at grade units and unit entrances and should include features such as front doors, door bells, unit numbers as well as weather protections such awnings and canopies.*
- (e) Development should be limited to a maximum building height of 3 storeys.*
- (f) Due to the limited depth of the site, outdoor amenity space may be provided at or above grade.*
- (g) Parking requirements should be accommodated to the rear of the units and not between the building face and a property line that abuts a street and should not be visible from the street.*

#### **4.3.3 Medium Density**

- (a) New development should be medium density multi-residential development such as apartments or townhouses.*
- (b) New development is encouraged to provide a range of unit sizes to meet the needs of different income levels, age groups and lifestyles.*
- (c) Units on the floor closest to grade should have individual and direct pedestrian access from a public sidewalk.*
- (d) Building facades should be articulated to emphasize individual at grade units and unit entrances and should include features such as front doors, door bells, unit numbers as well as weather protections such awnings and canopies.*
- (e) Building faces should be modulated in width, height, and finishing materials to visually break up large building facades.*

- (f) *Front yards with low fences, hedges or other design features should be provided for each ground floor unit in order to clearly define private amenity space from public or private environment.*
- (g) *In order to respect the scale of the adjacent residential development, the maximum allowable building heights for the area should be:*
- *4 storeys and 16 metres at the northern portion of the site along the 29 Avenue S.W. frontage;*
  - *3 storeys and 14 metres at the central portion of the site;*
  - *3 storeys and 12 metres at the southern portion of the site along the 30 Avenue S.W. frontage.*
- (h) *An open space should be provided between future residential development and the historic building. This open space should not be gated or fenced.*
- (i) *All parking for development is encouraged to be located underground.*
- (j) *Restaurant and outdoor cafe uses may be considered appropriate within a residential development. Permanent exterior signage or advertisement is discouraged, particularly on exterior facades facing adjacent residential development.*

**Bylaw 60P2021**

#### **4.3.4 Open Space**

- (a) *The open space should be designed to function as a passive urban park that is innately public in nature and is compatible with adjacent uses.*
- (b) *Landscape elements should be incorporated into the design of the open space and may include soft landscaping, a higher percentage of hard surfaces, seating, as well as areas that accommodate public art.*

#### **4.3.5 Circulation and Access**

(a) *Pedestrians are to be given the highest priority in the overall design of the site. The following design aspects should be considered:*

- *Internal pedestrian routes should be direct, convenient, safe and comfortable.*
- *Internal pedestrian connections should be provided between buildings and should connect buildings to public sidewalks.*
- *The pedestrian realm should be clearly differentiated from drive aisles through the use of surface markings, surface materials or changes in grade.*

(b) *The number of vehicular access points to the site should be minimized in order to reduce potential pedestrian/vehicle conflict points. Vehicular access to the site should be accommodated as follows:*

- *Access for the Low Density Multi-Unit and Medium Density areas should be from 17 Street S.W. or 16 Street S.W. respectively and should align with the location of the existing east-west lanes.*
- *Access for the Arts Centre area should be limited to two access points from 29 Avenue SW and one from 30 Avenue S.W.*
- *No individual residential units shall have vehicular access directly from adjacent streets.*

**Bylaw 6P2013**

## 5.0 OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION

### 5.1 Objective

To provide for the maintenance and improvement of the quality of community open space and recreation facilities and to ensure that the use of these facilities is maximized through the provision of a broader range of appropriate community activities.

### 5.2 Context

The South Calgary/Altadore Community has four park/open space sites, which provide a combination of active and passive areas including grassed open space and landscaping, playing fields and children’s play areas. In addition, there are *several* school sites in the area (Map 4): **Bylaw 6P2013**

- St. Raymond Elementary (separate),
- Dr. Oakley Junior High,
- Clinton Ford Elementary,
- Altadore Elementary, and
- *deleted*. **Bylaw 6P2013**

While the amount of open space per person (including school sites) in South Calgary/Altadore is presently considered adequate, there are several areas of concern:

- Certain park/open space sites do not appear to serve the community as well as they could in terms of their function.

- All of the school sites are designated R-1 or R-2 with the school sites being owned fee simple by the respective school boards. St. Raymond School is presently closed. If Dr. Oakley Centre is declared surplus and disposed of for other uses, the amount of available open space in the community would be reduced below normally accepted open space standards. This would also be the case if Clinton Ford School were closed and declared surplus.
- Some areas of the community are not adequately served by open space of at least 0.5 ha in size.

### 5.3 Policy

- 5.3.1 The use of existing open space and recreation facilities presently under City ownership should be maximized through a program of selective site and facility upgrading. Continued attention should be paid to changing needs resulting from changing demographics in the area.
- 5.3.2 The City will consider the acquisition of portions of the Dr. Oakley Centre and Clinton Ford School sites should they be declared surplus by the Calgary Board of Education to maintain an adequate distribution of open space in the western portion of the community.

## 5.4 Implementation

### 5.4.1 Action Required

To implement the recreation and open space policy, the following actions are required:


Site	Existing Designation	Land Use Policy	Proposed Designation/Implementation
All Open Space Sites		Open Space	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• A needs and preference study is currently being undertaken by the community with assistance from the Federation of Calgary Communities. Mount Royal College and the Parks/Recreation Department.</li><li>• Through the adoption of this A.R.P. City Council will state its intention that should either Dr. Oakley Centre or Clinton Ford School be declared surplus, the City will exercise its right of first refusal and enter into negotiations to acquire a portion of both sites from the Calgary Board of Education for open space uses. The Parks/Recreation Department will determine the amount and portion of each site which will be required at the time the site is declared surplus.</li><li>• Subsequent to the study outlined above, that portion of either site identified will be redesignated in accordance with the Joint Use Agreement. This redesignation will not require an amendment to the A.R.P.</li></ul>

# Map 4

## Area School Facilities & Park / Open Space


### Legend

-  Study Area Boundary
-  Calgary Board of Education
-  Separate School
-  Parks & Open Space



Approved: 13P86  
Amended: 17P2023

This map is conceptual only. No measurements of distances or areas should be taken from this map.



## 6.0 SCHOOL FACILITIES

### 6.1 Objective

To establish the City's position with respect to the provision of school facilities in South Calgary/ Altadore and to help minimize any negative impacts on the community from the closure of schools.

### 6.2 Context

One of the goals of the A.R.P is to produce stability in the area through the preservation and rehabilitation of existing low-density residential accommodation. In order to achieve this, provision of services that cater to families are considered to be important. Schools, especially elementary schools, are viewed as one of those services.

Presently the community has two public elementary schools (Altadore and Clinton Ford) (see Map 4). Both are open and are expected to be operating for the foreseeable future. King Edward Elementary/ Junior High School is also open. *Deleted*. It should be noted that the centre should not be considered a local school now as it provides educational opportunities for gifted children throughout the City. **Bylaw 6P2013**

The Calgary Board of Education has approved in principle a policy on school closure which would involve consultation with parents, school staff, and community members in regard to program limitations related to low enrollments. Mutual agreement would be sought to ensure that closure and consolidation is required to improve the quality of the educational program.

If Clinton Ford School or Dr. Oakley Centre were closed, declared surplus and disposed of for non-open space purposes, the community would be short of open space and the City would need to consider the acquisition of a portion of both.

## **6.3 Policy**

6.3.1 The City of Calgary's position with respect to the provision of school facilities in the South Calgary/Altadore Community is as follows:

- The City would appreciate being consulted when discussions between the Calgary Board of Education and area parents relating to possible closure of a community school are undertaken. The City will offer input to the School Board relating to planning policies, population trends and community impact of the possible closure.
- The City would appreciate being consulted with regard to reuse options for particular school sites considered for closure.
- It is the City's position that, whenever possible, school buildings which have been closed should be reused for community related activities. Redesign and renovation of the building should not be of a nature which would preclude the building's return to school use if the child population in the community returns to appropriate levels.
- Due to the importance of a readily accessible school program to young families, at least one elementary school within a reasonable distance of residences should remain open in South Calgary/Altadore.

## **6.4 Implementation**

6.4.1 Upon adoption of this Area Redevelopment Plan, the Administration will forward a copy of the City's position with respect to the provision of school facilities in South Calgary/Altadore to the Calgary Board of Education.

## 7.0 TRANSPORTATION

### 7.1 Objective

To provide for the continuance of a pleasant and safe community environment by ensuring:

- reasonable access to and from the community; and
- control of traffic flow, on-street parking and congestion generated by new development.

### 7.2 Context

The Crowchild Trail Expressway has controlled access points into the community at 33<sup>rd</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> Avenues. The community is well served by 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue. 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue provides good east-west movement at the north end of the community. 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue is presently designated as a collector road east of Crowchild Trail.

*Deleted.*

***Bylaw 5P2014***

The remainder of the community road system is considered satisfactory.

## 7.3 Policy

The following hierarchy of designated roads and implementation actions listed in Section 6.4, are intended to control present local and regional traffic flow, provide flexibility for the transportation needs of new local and regional development, and ensure that the community's public transit needs are well met (Map 5).

7.3.1 The roadways within the community retain their existing designations in accordance with Bylaw 3M82, the City of Calgary Transportation System Bylaw, as follows:

#### Expressways:

- Crowchild Trail.
- 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue South.

Land adjacent to 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue S. in the study area may eventually be acquired for a future expressway along that alignment.

#### Major Street:

- 14<sup>th</sup> Street W.

7.3.2 With the exception of those roads noted below as collector streets, all other streets in the community are local streets:


#### Collector Streets:

- 20<sup>th</sup> Street W. from 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue to 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.
- 19<sup>th</sup> Street W. from 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue to 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.

# Map 5 Transportation System


## Legend

-  Study Area Boundary
-  Skeletal Road
-  Major Street
-  Collector Street
-  Local Road
-  Truck Route
-  Interchange



Approved: 13P86  
Amended: 17P2023

This map is conceptual only. No measurements of distances or areas should be taken from this map.



**Future Improvements**

- 16<sup>th</sup> Street W. from 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue to 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.
- the following 1 block sections of the streets between 33<sup>rd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Avenues S.:
  - 22<sup>nd</sup> Street W.
  - 21<sup>st</sup> Street W.
  - 18<sup>th</sup> Street W.
- 17<sup>th</sup> Street between 32<sup>nd</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Avenues S.
- 16<sup>th</sup> Street between 30<sup>th</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> Avenues S.
- 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue from 14<sup>th</sup> Street W. to the west of the community.
- 30<sup>th</sup> Avenue from 14<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> Street W.
- 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue from 14<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> Street W.
- 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue from Crowchild Trail through the community.
- 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue from Crowchild Trail to 14<sup>th</sup> Street W.
- 38<sup>th</sup> Avenue from 14<sup>th</sup> Street to 16<sup>th</sup> Street W.
- 42<sup>nd</sup> Avenue from 16<sup>th</sup> Street to 20<sup>th</sup> Street W.
- 46<sup>th</sup> Avenue from 16<sup>th</sup> Street to 20<sup>th</sup> Street W.

Truck Routes:

- Crowchild Trail S.W.
- 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue S.W.
- 14<sup>th</sup> Street W.

The above noted designations serve the following functions: Expressways and major roads expedite the movement of high volumes of traffic between various areas of the City; collector roads distribute traffic between major and local roads and may also function as transit routes; local roads provide access to properties within the community. Truck traffic is controlled by restricting it to specified truck routes.

7.3.3 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue will continue to operate under the collector status.

7.3.4 The truck route status of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue should be re-examined in light of community and planning concerns.

## 7.4 Implementation

### 7.4.1 Action Required

To implement the transportation policy, the following actions are required:

- The Transportation and Planning & Building Departments will monitor the traffic volumes on 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue as new commercial development occurs in the area to determine if the collector status of the road should be reconsidered.
- The Transportation Department will carry out a survey of truck traffic on 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue within one year to determine whether traffic is local or through traffic. The results of the survey will be used in evaluating the applicability of the truck route status on 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue.

## 8.0 SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

### 8.1 Objective

To maintain continuity and stability in the community through the provision of mechanisms or services that reduce social problems in the area.

### 8.2 Context

#### 8.2.1 Stability in the Area

There is a feeling in the community that there is instability in the area owing to a larger turnover in ownership in the past several years and an increase in a more transient rental population. This instability poses a threat to the physical form of the community as it presently exists. Since the community desires that the physical character of the area be maintained, a policy of maintenance of low density residential accommodation is encouraged (Residential Land Use, Section 2.2).

This, in turn, would help to encourage more families to move into the area which would ensure certain services and facilities are maintained thereby aiding the desired stability in the community.

#### 8.2.2 Senior Citizens Accommodation

The Community expressed as a high priority the need for seniors to be able to stay in the community. This group comprises 11 percent of the population in this area which is considerably more than the City-average of 6.3 percent. This group needs programs such as government sponsored home improvement programs and needs to increase awareness of services already available to assist them in remaining in their homes. This would also help maintain the existing built form of the community.

Many seniors are unable to remain in their single family homes, but would like to remain in the community. Provisions should be made for them through the development of seniors housing projects within the community or nearby. This type of housing should be located close to local shopping, bus lines, medical, and recreation facilities. *Deleted.* **Bylaw 5P2014**

### **8.3 Policy**

That a seniors housing complex be provided in the South Calgary/Altadore Community in close proximity to shopping and transit routes.

8.3.2 That seniors be better advised of programs and services available to them.

### **8.4 Implementation**

#### **8.4.1 Action Required**

To implement the social needs policy, the following actions are required.

- The City Social Services Department will continue to monitor the seniors population in South Calgary/ Altadore to determine an appropriate time for the development of seniors accommodation facilities in the area.
- The City Social Services Department will seek ways to better distribute information to seniors on services available to them.

# **South Calgary/Altadore**

## **Area Redevelopment Plan**

### **Supporting Information**



THE CITY OF CALGARY  
PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION TO THE SOUTH CALGARY/ALTADORE AREA REDEVELOPMENT PLAN

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE		PAGE
<b>PREFACE</b> .....	B-iii	<b>2.0 COMMUNITY PROFILE</b> .....	<b>B-11</b>
<b>1.0 APPROACH TO PLANNING AND PLANNING IMPLICATIONS</b> .....	<b>B-1</b>	2.1 Community History .....	B-11
1.1 Approach to Planning .....	B-1	2.2 Existing Land Use Districts .....	B-11
1.1.1 Residential Land Use .....	B-1	2.3 Existing Land Use .....	B-16
1.1.2 Commercial Land Use .....	B-5	2.4 Demographic Characteristics .....	B-19
1.1.3 Other Land Uses .....	B-6	2.5 Existing Transportation System .....	B-24
1.1.4 Open Space, Recreation and School Facilities .....	B-6	<b>3.0 BACKGROUND TO POLICY FORMULATION</b> .....	<b>B-26</b>
1.1.5 Transportation and Parking .....	B-7	3.1 Planning Process .....	B-26
1.2 Proposed Land Use Districts .....	B-7	3.2 Issues and Concerns .....	B-26
1.3 Development Potential .....	B-7	3.2.1 General Considerations .....	B-27
1.3.1 Population Potential .....	B-8	3.2.2 Land Use Considerations .....	B-27
1.3.2 Commercial Potential .....	B-8	3.2.3 Open Space, Recreation and School Facilities .....	B-28
		3.2.4 Transportation .....	B-28
		3.3 Policy Direction .....	B-28

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1. Sites Requiring Implementation Action .....	B-3
2. Proposed Land Use Districts .....	B-9
3. Existing Land Use Districts .....	B-13
4. Existing Direct Control Districts .....	B-15
5. Land Use Distribution .....	B-16
6. Generalized Land Use .....	B-17
7. Existing Open Space .....	B-21
8. Age Profile .....	B-22
9. Dwelling Unit Mix .....	B-23
10. Existing Transportation System .....	B-25
11. Inner City Plan Policies .....	B-31

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
1. Existing Direct Control Districts .....	B-12
2. Recreation/Open Space and School Sites .....	B-20
3. Growth of Different Types of Dwelling Units (1976-1984) .....	B-24
4. Population by Dwelling Unit Type (1974-1984) .....	B-24
5. Percentage of Owner Occupied Dwelling Units .....	B-24
6. Inner City Plan Commercial Land Use .....	B-30

## **PREFACE**

This section provides background information to the South Calgary/Altadore Area Redevelopment Plan (A.R.P.). Its purpose is to describe the context within which planning for the A.R.P. has been formulated. However, this section is not a part of the Area Redevelopment Plan and, therefore, has no legal status.

## 1.0 APPROACH TO PLANNING AND PLANNING IMPLICATIONS

### 1.1 Approach to Planning

South Calgary/Altadore is a community that has completed the first stage of its neighbourhood life cycle.

As the community has matured, children have left home, while a majority of their parents have stayed in the community and retained ownership of their dwellings. Random low-density infill has replaced some deteriorated single-family dwellings and some single-family dwellings have been converted to two-family dwellings. At the same time, only a small number of young families with school age children have moved into the area resulting in a continuing decline in school enrollment.

These trends are verified by the following facts:

- a high proportion of people in the 65+ age group compared to the city as a whole;
- a very low proportion of children in the 0 to 14 age group compared to the city as a whole;
- a large number of owner-occupied single-family dwellings, and
- an above average length of time that people have lived in the area.

The intent of the South Calgary/Altadore A.R.P. is to provide for the maintenance of stability in the community by maintaining the stock of family-type accommodation in the area. The area will remain a viable community and be better able to maintain stability through the encouragement of:

- a combination of residential conservation, rehabilitation and infill;
- a range of residential dwelling choices;
- revitalized and viable commercial areas; and
- improvement in the quality of open space and recreational activities.

South Calgary/Altadore's role in the Inner City/Inner Suburb area should continue to be one of providing for an environment of low-density residential and local and district commercial uses.

Throughout the review of the following Sections, reference should be made to Figure 1, Sites Requiring Implementation Action.

#### 1.1.1 Residential Land Use

The following sets of policies offer a variety of residential dwelling options to a wide range of potential occupants. However, particular emphasis has been placed on dwelling forms that are family-type accommodation. The intent of this approach is to stabilize the community physically and therefore make it attractive to a wide variety of people.

### **Conservation and Infill Policy (R-1, R-2 Districts)**

The Plan reaffirms the conservation policy of the Inner City Plan providing for the retention of the existing character and quality of the area through the conservation and rehabilitation of existing housing while allowing for low-scale compatible infill development.

Thus, the existing Single-detached and Low Density Residential Districts of R-1 and R-2 are retained.

#### R-1 (Residential Single-Detached)

A long rectangular area in the southeast corner of South Calgary/Altadore is bounded generally by River Park (14A Street) on the east, 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the south, 16<sup>th</sup> Street on the west and steps up gradually eastward until it reaches 38<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the north. This stable residential area contains single family housing in good condition and will retain the existing R-1 designation.

#### R-2 (Residential Low Density)

The retention of the majority of the existing R-2 district throughout the area provides for the best opportunity to maintain stability in the community. This district allows for the retention of single-family dwellings, conversion of existing single-family dwellings to two-family dwellings, duplexes and 7.5 metre (25 foot) lot infill development. To ensure compatibility of proposed infill development with existing dwellings a set of design guidelines is outlined. The guidelines would be applied by the Approving Authority in the review of discretionary development permit applications for infill development.


The portion of the half-block bounded by 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the north, the alley behind 14<sup>th</sup> Street on the east, the alley between 29<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the south and 15<sup>th</sup> Street on the west is redesignated from RM-4 to R-2 because of its built form and compatibility with surrounding uses (Site 1, Figure 1).

Two parcels of land on 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the west side of 19<sup>th</sup> Street (Site 2, Figure 1) are redesignated from D.C. (RM-2) and R-2 to RM-2 to conform to surrounding uses.

A parcel of land now designated RM-4 between 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Streets south of 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue (Site 3, Figure 1) is redesignated to R-2 to recognize its existing built-form and to ensure continued compatibility with surrounding uses.

### **Low Density Policy (RM-2 and D.C. [RM-2] District)**

The intent of introducing a low density multi-dwelling policy is to encourage an improvement in residential quality and character, as under the conservation and infill policy, while simultaneously allowing for low profile family-oriented redevelopment. In addition to single and two-family dwellings, small multi-dwelling infill projects containing townhouse or stacked townhouse units would be appropriate. Maximum density would not exceed 75 units per hectare (29 units per acre). There are at present no RM-2 districts in this area, but several opportunities to create them are noted below.



SOUTH CALGARY/  
ALTADORE **Fig. 1**


**TITLE**  
SITES REQUIRING  
IMPLEMENTATION  
ACTION

**LEGEND**

-  **6** Site Number  
(See Action Required  
Sections  
2.4.2.  
3.4.2.)
-  RM-4(76)

**DATE**  
SEPTEMBER 1986

 **THE CITY OF CALGARY**  
PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT



The area bounded by 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue to the south, 17<sup>th</sup> Street to the west, the alley north of 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the north and the alley west of 14<sup>th</sup> Street on the east (Site 4, Figure 1) is redesignated to RM-2 in response to owner requests as a transitional use between RM-4 to the north and the R-2 to the south. Three sites on these blocks (Site 4, Figure 1, cross-hatched) are to be redesignated RM-4/75 for the same reasons.

An area bounded by 35<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the north, 17<sup>th</sup> Street on the west, the alley south of 36<sup>th</sup> Avenue in the south and 16<sup>th</sup> Street on the east plus the half block facing 16<sup>th</sup> Street between 36<sup>th</sup> and 37<sup>th</sup> Avenues (Site 5, Figure 1) is redesignated from RM-4 to RM-2 both because of the present built form and as a transition between RM-4 to the north and east and R-2 to the south.

An existing RM-4 area (Site 6, Figure 1) covering most of the block face on the north side of 27<sup>th</sup> Avenue between 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Streets W. is redesignated to RM-2 to provide a transition between the C-1 and R-2 uses. Two sites in that block (see Site 6, Figure 1, cross-hatch) are to be redesignated RM-4/75 for the same reason.

The area immediately north of the C-1 site on 16<sup>th</sup> Street and 48<sup>th</sup> Avenue which is presently designated RM-4 should remain so. The areas north of that, across Altadore Avenue and south and east of the C-1 site presently designated RM-4 (Site 7, Figure 1) are redesignated to RM-2 to act as a buffer between the RM-4 in the north and C-1 in the south and the surrounding low density R-1 areas.

### **Medium Density Policy (RM-4)**

The plan will retain the majority of existing RM-4 medium density districts which typically provide for apartment forms of development of up to 148 units/ha (60 units/acre). However, development of a wider variety of housing forms, such as triplexes, fourplexes and townhouses, in combination with specific guidelines to encourage family-oriented accommodation, is also encouraged in these areas. Some of the existing RM-4 Districts were redesignated earlier in this section to other residential designations. Others are redesignated to commercial use and are discussed in 1.1.2 below.

A portion of the south half of the block bounded by Crowchild Trail on the west, 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue on the north, 22<sup>nd</sup> Street on the east, and 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue on the south (Site 8, Figure 1) is recommended for eventual transition from C-3 to RM-4 to provide for the future redevelopment to a use more compatible with the adjacent uses. Commercial designation is not appropriate given that 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue has been closed at its west end.

Several sites shown on Figure 1 as #9 and #10 have been placed under Policy Review in order to further determine their most appropriate designation.

### 1.1.2 Commercial Land Use

The intent of the commercial policies is to clearly establish the extent of commercial areas, while encouraging the provision of a range of local and general commercial uses. These uses would serve the immediate neighbourhood as well as a limited regional population.

The following policies provide for the stabilization and revitalization of the community's commercial areas. These policies are intended to complement and be sensitive to the residential policies noted earlier.

The Inner City Plan policy provides for local commercial development, with primarily an automobile orientation, in the area of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue between 19<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> Streets. This area forms part of a larger “commercial centre” comprised of properties on both sides of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue S.W.

The intent of the “commercial centre” is to encourage the development of a revitalized commercial core serving the two communities as well as the traffic using 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue. The centre provides for local commercial uses on the north side of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue that would not negatively affect either in terms of mass or scale, the low density residential development across the lane to the north. This involves the retention of the C-1 designations at the west end of the block between 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Streets. The RM-4 site at the east end of the same block is redesignated to D.C. with C-1 guidelines (Site 11, on Figure 1) in order to allow

for additional guidelines to be required at the time of the redesignation of the site. In addition, the centre provides for general and local commercial uses on the south side of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue which are compatible with the medium density residential to the immediate south. This involves the following land use designation changes:

- (a) from D.C. to C-1 on the southwest corner of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue and 19<sup>th</sup> Street (Site 12, Figure 1);
- (b) the portion of the block on the north side of 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue between 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> Streets that is now RM-4 to D.C. (C-1) (Site 13, Figure 1);
- (c) The eastern portion of the block south of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue and west of 21<sup>st</sup> Street is redesignated from RM-4 to C-2(12) (Site 14, Figure 1). Either a seniors housing complex or commercial uses would be appropriate on this site.
- (d) the extensive C-3 designation along the south side of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue west of 21<sup>st</sup> Avenue (Site 15, Figure 1) is redesignated C-2(12) to recognize the traffic problems created by a higher density in this vicinity and in order to be more compatible with adjacent uses.
- (e) the C-3 designation between 19<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> Streets on the south side of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue (Site 16, Figure 1) is redesignated C-3(23) to recognize its present and likely future use and to help maintain a lower density that is more in keeping with the surrounding residential uses.

- (f) the C-3 site shown as #17 on Figure 1 has been placed under Policy Review in order to further determine its most appropriate designation.
- (g) any other commercial designations in the immediate area are to be retained.

By encouraging a wide variety of commercial uses, these policies will simultaneously be aimed at discouraging commercial redesignations in other inappropriate areas of the community, while still providing adequate commercial opportunities for both the developer and the resident.

There are several C-1 areas in the remainder of the community which serve local needs and will be retained.

### **1.1.3 Other Land Uses**

#### Direct Control (D.C.)

Those D.C. sites which are considered not to conflict with the intent of the proposed policies for adjacent and surrounding properties are recommended for retention. D.C. sites, which either conflict with the proposed policies of the area around it or have not been developed under the D.C. guidelines, are redesignated, as outlined in previous sections to a district which meets the policy intent of the area.

### **1.1.4 Open Space, Recreation and School Facilities**

At present the South Calgary/Altadore Community is considered to have an adequate rating in terms of the quantity and quality of open space. However, school related open space, which forms a substantial portion of the amount of usable open space area, is a concern in that closure of any of the area schools may result in the loss of the school sites for open space purposes. One school has already been closed (St. Raymond) and Clinton Ford had been on a recent closure list, but has subsequently been taken off.

It should be pointed out that Dr. Oakley Centre does not function as a local school as it provides educational opportunities for gifted children throughout the City. Because of the particular location of the Dr. Oakley Centre and Clinton Ford School, portions of both are needed for adequate distribution of open space in the area. That portion will be no less than 0.5 hectares (1.24 acres) per site. The precise amount and location will be determined at the time the sites are declared surplus by the School Board.

The policies encourage the improvement in the quality of sites, facilities and recreational activities through a program of selective site and facility upgrading. The South Calgary Park site at 30<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 14<sup>th</sup> Street is the subject of a community study now underway for that portion of the site where the Kinsman ice arena was previously located.

### **1.1.5 Transportation and Parking**

The Plan retains all the existing roadway designations.

One area where proposed policies may require corresponding new transportation policies is the South Calgary/Altadore portion of the recommended “commercial centre”. Dependent on the actual development, certain traffic management schemes such as restricted turns or closures may be required. In addition, restricted commercial access to the lanes between 32<sup>nd</sup> and 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenues S.W. is required to ensure that the centre does not have a negative traffic impact on the adjacent conservation and infill and medium density residential areas to the immediate north and west. It should be understood that while these schemes would affect traffic on roads internal to the area, they would have little effect on the traffic volumes on 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue.

With respect to low and medium density residential development, experience in other inner city and inner suburban communities indicates that an increase in the amount of the minimum number of parking spaces provided in such developments is necessary to alleviate on-street parking problems. Therefore, the A.R.P. requires a minimum of 1.25 resident parking spaces and 0.15 visitor parking spaces per dwelling unit in RM-2 districts and the provision of 0.15 visitor spaces per dwelling unit in addition to the Land Use By-law minimum in RM-4 districts.

### **1.2 Proposed Land Use Districts**

As a result of the above policies, a number of redesignations to community land use districts will occur to provide more compatibility between land uses and to eliminate non-conforming land use designations. The resultant Land Use Districts for South Calgary/Altadore are shown on Figure 2.

### **1.3 Development Potential**

The following estimates are based on the development of the community to full potential within each of the land use districts and do not reflect likely population trends within the community over the life of the plan.

### 1.3.1 Population Potential

Full development under the proposed residential policies could accommodate a total theoretical population of 13,105 persons living in 7,260 dwelling units.\* This would be an approximate 60 percent increase over the present population of 8,149 living in 4,077 dwelling units resulting in a density of 48.4 units per net hectare (20.2 units per net acre) in the residential portions of the community. However, realistically, a figure considerably below this should be anticipated as being reasonable. City projections put the probable 1994 population of South Calgary/Altadore at about 8,397, given a continued decrease in occupancy rates and a gradual infilling of vacant land in the community.

Additional population could also be accommodated within areas designated for commercial use; however, such a figure has not been included in the above totals due to the fact that commercially designated areas are unlikely to become receptors of a large residential population.

---

*\* The following assumptions are made in estimating population potential:*

- (1) That existing non-apartment structures will be redeveloped to the maximum permitted density;*
- (2) That existing apartment uses do not redevelop; and*
- (3) That occupancy rates similar to existing rates will occur in new developments.*


### 1.3.2 Commercial Potential

Full development under the proposed commercial policies could result in 134,870 m<sup>2</sup> (1,451,784 square feet) of commercial floor space,\*\* compared to the 126,711 m<sup>2</sup> (1,366,104 square feet) presently developed in the South Calgary/Altadore Community; a potential increase of approximately 6.3 percent. Again, as in the case of the residential projections, the actual figure attained is likely to be substantially less than this theoretical estimate.

---

*\*\* The following assumptions are made in estimating commercial floor space potential:*

- (1) That all sites will be developed to the maximum permitted density; and*
- (2) That all development occurs as retail/office space with no residential component.*





## 2.0 COMMUNITY PROFILE

### 2.1 Community History

South Calgary/Altadore is located on lands that were annexed by the City in 1907 and 1911. Most of the subdivision plans for the area were registered between 1907 and 1914. The first homes, consisting of one-storey cottage-style and two-storey clapboard houses located on 25 foot lots were developed during this time. These homes were widely dispersed throughout the community.

In 1934, Calgary's first zoning ordinance was established. Most of South Calgary was designated for two-family development at that time.

Most of the building in South Calgary/Altadore occurred in the 1950s. Houses from this period were generally one-storey, stucco-bungalows located on 50 foot lots.

Portions of the community were rezoned for three-storey apartments in the 1950s. Apartment and fourplex redevelopment has occurred at a very slow pace since that time.

In the R-2 areas, two trends have occurred:

1. Many bungalows have been converted to two-family dwellings.
2. Some 50 foot lots have been subdivided to create two, 25 foot lots, on which single family infill dwellings have been built.

Some commercial redevelopment has occurred within the community as well. Most of this has been concentrated along 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue adjacent to 20<sup>th</sup> Street.

### 2.2 Existing Land Use Districts

Figure 3 indicates the land use designations which were in place in the area at the time of the A.R.P. preparation.


The majority of South Calgary/Altadore is designated R-2, Residential Low Density District supplemented by one R-1 Residential Single-Detached District located in the southeast portion of the community. These districts are restricted to one and two family dwellings. Medium density residential designations which allow for apartment buildings, including Senior Citizen projects, townhouses and fourplexes, are located adjacent to major and collector standard roads within the area. These districts include the RM-4 Residential Medium Density Multi-Dwelling Districts.

Commercial land use districts include the C-1 Local Commercial District, and the C-3 General Commercial District.


The remainder of the community is designated as follows: four sites are designated PE - Public Park, School and Recreation District. Eight sites are designated D.C. - Direct Control District - with specific uses and guidelines, as indicated in Table 1 and Figure 4.

**Table 1  
Existing Direct Control Districts**

<b>Site No.</b>	<b>Bylaw Number</b>	<b>Reclassification/ Redesignation Dates</b>	<b>Approved Use</b>
1.	DC 443	1976 August 09	D.C. with R-2A guidelines.
2.	DC 64Z85	1985 September 09	D.C. to accommodate a maximum of 3 dwelling units on the entire site or a child care facility.
3.	DC 29Z85	1985 May 27	D.C. to accommodate a Texaco Service Station.
4.	DC 52	1972 December 04	D.C. to accommodate a bank and medical clinic with C-1 guidelines.
5.	DC 183	1974 March 11	D.C. (C-1).
6.	DC 40Z85	1985 June 17	D.C. (C-1) for offices, retail stores and personal service businesses.
7.	DC 164Z81	1981 September 08	D.C. (RM-1) in addition to RM 1 uses, single detached, semi detached and duplex uses be allowed.
8.	DC 165Z81	1981 September 08	D.C. (R-2) for student residence.







SOUTH CALGARY / ALTADORE Fig. 4


TITLE  
EXISTING DIRECT CONTROL DISTRICTS

LEGEND

 DC Site Number (see table 1)

DATE  
SEPTEMBER 1986

 THE CITY OF CALGARY  
PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT



## 2.3 Existing Land Use

The land use distribution is illustrated in Figure 5 and the generalized land use pattern is illustrated in Figure 6. South Calgary/Altadore comprises of 267 gross hectares (660 gross acres) of land.

### Residential


Residential land use is the largest land use component (see Figure 5) and is dominated by single-family and two-family dwellings. There is a small percentage of multi-family dwellings in the community.

Density is calculated on the total area because there are no regional or institutional type uses in this area. The 267 hectares (660 acres) in the area contain a total of 8,149 persons residing in 4,077 dwelling units. This results in a density of 15.2 units per hectare (6.2 units per acre).


### Commercial

Local commercial uses, represented by small commercial strips which provide a wide range of personal service, automotive service and retail businesses, are distributed throughout the community. There is one general commercial strip area located along 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue containing one and two storey office and retail developments. Other scattered commercial uses exist along 14<sup>th</sup> Street, 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 16<sup>th</sup> Street S.W. and 20<sup>th</sup> Street S.W.

**Fig.5 1985 LAND USE DISTRIBUTION\***



\* does not include roads and rights of way





### Open Space, Recreation and School Facilities

South Calgary/Altadore has four local park/open space sites and five school sites within its boundaries comprising 17.3 ha (42.7 acres) (see Table 2 and Figure 7). This is 5.9 percent of the total land area. In addition, there are 2.6 ha (6.5 acres) of visual relief and urban buffer areas primarily adjacent to roadways. There are two regional parks in the immediate vicinity of the community; Glenmore Athletic Park south of 50th Avenue and River Park and Sandy Beach east of 14A Street. Of the 19.9 ha (49.3 acres) of total open space area, 13.7 ha (33.9 acres), or 68 percent is usable recreational open space. Of this 13.7 ha, 5.2 ha (12.7 acres), or 37 percent, is school yard space. None of the school land is designated PE under the Land Use By-law.

### Heritage

Two potential heritage sites have been identified by the Planning & Building Department. These are:

1. King Edward School, 1720 - 30 Avenue S.W., built in 1912. It is very significant both for its architectural and historical importance.
2. The Summers Block, 2715 - 14 Street S.W., built in 1913. Its importance is primarily architectural, although it is rated low in significance.

## **2.4 Demographic Characteristics**

An examination of the population statistics for South Calgary/Altadore reveals several trends:

- school-age child population is smaller than the City average and slowly declining;
- as is the case for the rest of the City, the occupancy rate (average number of people per household) is declining for all residential structure types;
- the proportion of senior citizens is substantially greater than the City average.


In these trends, South Calgary/Altadore is similar to other inner area communities.

### Population and Occupancy Rates

The majority of South Calgary/Altadore was developed in the early 1950's and the population reached its maximum within 10 to 12 years of development. Since that time the population, as in most of the inner area communities, has declined. Available statistics show a drop of 22 percent in the population from 10,500 in 1968 to 8,149 in 1984. Since the number of dwelling units (households) has increased slowly over this period, the decline is clearly due to a decrease in the occupancy rate which dropped from 3.1 people per unit in 1968 to 2 people per unit in 1984. This occupancy rate decline during the past decades is a universal phenomenon attributable primarily to lower birth rates, and in the South Calgary/Altadore case, to children moving out of their parents' homes.

**Table 2  
Recreation/Open Space and School Sites**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Facilities</b>
1. King Edward School	16 Street and 29 Avenue S.W.	R 2	1.3 ha (3.1 acres)	30% open space comprised of children's play equipment and playfield areas.
2. South Calgary Park (Community Lease)	14 Street and 30 Avenue S.W.	PE	6.4 ha (15.8 acres)	Community Association Hall, pool, collapsed arena site, baseball diamonds, field sports area, winter hockey rinks.
3. Altadore Park	16 Street and 37 Avenue S.W.	PE	0.7 ha± (1.8 acres)	100% passive; landscaping and park benches.
4. Dr. Oakley Centre (Public School)	20 Street and 38 Avenue S.W.	R 2	2.7 ha (6.7 acres)	65% open space comprised of children's play equipment and playfield areas.
5. St. Raymond School (Separate School)	16 Street and 42 Avenue S.W.	R 1	1.4 ha (3.4 acres)	66% open space comprised of children's play equipment and playfield areas.
6. Altadore School (Public School)	16 Street and 44 Avenue S.W.	R 1	1.8 ha (4.5 acres)	60% open space comprised of children's play equipment and playfield areas.
7. Acton Park	South Side Acton Avenue	CR PE	0.7 ha (1.7 acres)	65% active area; playfield areas.
8. 47 Avenue and 17 Street Park		PE	0.7 ha (1.7 acres)	25% active; 75% passive; mature landscaping; children's play equipment.
9. Clinton Ford (Public School)	20 Street and 49 Avenue S.W.	R 2	1.6 ha (4.0 acres)	70% open space comprised of children's play equipment and playfield areas.



SOUTH CALGARY/  
ALTADORE Fig.  
7


TITLE  
EXISTING  
OPEN SPACE

LEGEND

-  Park/  
Open Space
-  School Yard
- 5** Site Number  
(see table 2)
-  Bikeway

DATE  
SEPTEMBER 1986


 THE CITY OF CALGARY  
PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT



### Age Structure

South Calgary/ Altadore has a higher proportion of senior citizens and lower proportion of school age children than the City average (Figure 8) while the size of the 25-44 and 44-64 aged cohorts is similar in proportion to the City average.

Over the past 10 years, the number of senior citizens in the community has remained relatively stable. Owing to an actual drop in population in the area, this represents a small increase in the proportion of seniors — from 9 percent to 11 percent as the total community population has declined. The proportion of pre-school children has increased marginally from 5 percent in 1980 to 5.5 percent in 1984. As with the seniors population this represents a relatively stable population. The number of school age children however, has declined significantly since 1980 when 790 children from the ages 5 to 14 were recorded to the 1984 figure of 560 children.




## Housing Structure and Population

Detached single-family homes are the predominant housing type in South Calgary/Altadore accounting for 42 percent of the dwelling units in 1984 (Figure 9). This is substantially lower than the City average and represents a decline from the 50 percent proportion of single-family detached housing in the community in 1975. The decline is the result of the construction of apartments and the development of suites in single-family homes. These "converted" structures now account for a quarter of the dwelling units in South Calgary/Altadore. The growth in the different types of dwelling units since 1974 is illustrated in Table 3. As shown in Table 4, population accommodated in single-family detached units has declined substantially over the past 10 years, particularly when compared to the other housing types. This parallels the change in occupancy rates and reflects the phenomena of children leaving home and the decreasing family size typical of present day young families.

The level of owner/occupied dwelling units is an important measure of community stability. Table 5 shows South Calgary/Altadore to be quite a bit below (10 percent) the City as a whole.

On the other hand, the South Calgary/Altadore Community Survey results suggest that more than 65 percent of the community residents have lived in the area for more than 5 years. This statistic suggests a stability which many people would like to see strengthened in the community.



	1976	1978	1980	1982	1984
Single Family	1826	1807	1778	1711	1714
Duplex	227	242	244	234	232
Converted Structure	768	785	851	976	969
Apartment	851	891	979	1073	1099
Row	0	23	30	45	46
Other	13	16	16	17	17
All Structure Types	3685	3764	3898	4056	4077

	1976	1978	1980	1982	1984
Single Family	5332	5012	4648	4375	4217
Duplex	576	607	613	602	573
Converted Structure	1583	1559	1681	2022	1566
Apartment	1628	1519	1634	1890	1544
Row		28	62	85	95
Other	111	185	179	166	154
All Structure Types	9230	8910	8817	9140	8149

	1981	1982	1984
South Calgary/Altadore	44.1	43.8	46.2
City	55.2	55.7	56.5

## 2.5 Existing Transportation System

The existing transportation system is shown in Figure 10.

The South Calgary/Altadore area will continue to be served primarily by two mainline downtown routes (Route 7 - South Calgary and Route 13 - Mount Royal). Routes 7 and 107 (peak period only) operate through the communities on 14<sup>th</sup> Street, 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, and 20<sup>th</sup> Street S.W. Route 13 operates on 14<sup>th</sup> Street (south of 36<sup>th</sup> Avenue), 38<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 16<sup>th</sup> Street and 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue S. Peripheral transit services (e.g., Route 6 and 94 on 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Routes 20 and 73/73 on Crowchild Trail and Route 18 on 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.) provide additional community coverage. All transit routes operate on collector standard roadways or better.

SOUTH CALGARY / ALTADORE Fig 10



TITLE  
TRANSPORTATION  
SYSTEM

**LEGEND**

-  Freeway or Expressway
-  Major Street
-  Collector Street
-  Local Road
-  Truck Route
-  Interchange
-  Study Area

DATE  
SEPTEMBER 1986

 THE CITY OF CALGARY  
PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT



## **3.0 BACKGROUND TO POLICY FORMULATION**

### **3.1 Planning Process**

The South Calgary/Altadore A.R.P. was prepared taking into consideration the best interests of the City and the concerns of the community.

#### **Community Planning Advisory Committee (C.P.A.C.)**

A C.P.A.C. consisting of representatives from the community was set up to work with the Administration in identifying issues and the community's recommended solutions to them. This Committee met several times over a five month period; sub-committees were formed to examine the specific issue areas of traffic, land use, parks, business revitalization and schools. Recommendations were then made to the Administration from the Committee.

#### **Block Meetings**

Several Block Meetings were held in areas where substantial policy or land use designation changes were considered. These were almost entirely in areas where changes were being considered from one residential designation to another. Meetings were held for nine areas in the community.

#### **Community Survey**

Some of the statistics and issues brought out in this document were identified through a community

survey carried out in three inner city areas in 1983 including the South Calgary/Altadore area. This survey was given to one out of every 10 blocks in the study area and issues and statistics extrapolated from the responses.

#### **Other Interests**

The Administration and the C.P.A.C. met with representatives from the 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue Business Revitalization Zone to ensure that recommendations in the Plan adequately reflect the desires of that group while keeping in mind the community residents' wishes.

A great number of traffic operations type of concerns were expressed (e.g., crosswalk lights at 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue and 19<sup>th</sup> Street S.W.). These were brought out at a meeting arranged between the Transportation Department and the community. Only those concerns considered appropriate for resolution in an A.R.P. are included in this Plan. All others will be monitored by the community and the Administration and acted upon as warranted.

### **3.2 Issues and Concerns**

South Calgary/Altadore Community issues and concerns described in this section are derived from the public participation program conducted from 1982 to 1984 as described in Section 3.1. It includes opinions gathered through an Open House, the Community Planning Advisory Committee, Block Meetings, the Community Survey and discussions with the Community Association.

### 3.2.1 General Considerations

South Calgary/Altadore exhibits the characteristics and trends which typify those communities that the Calgary General Municipal Plan categorizes as the Inner Suburbs. These characteristics include:

- Predominant land use of R-2 district and composed of small post-war bungalows primarily used as single-family dwellings.
- Pockets of under-developed multi-residential land situated adjacent to major roads or commercial areas.
- Commercial strips designated C-1 or C-3 which are underutilized and primarily auto-oriented.
- Comparatively low population density.
- Age structure which has a low proportion of children and a high proportion of seniors.

Responses to the Community Survey (conducted in October 1983) depicted trends and characteristics that ran parallel with those from the 1983 City of Calgary Census, namely: the below average population of pre-school and school age children; well above average seniors population; a consistent population decline over the last 15 years, and a high percentage of single-family dwellings, a large percentage of which are owner-occupied.

The survey gave a further indication as to the stability of the population living in South Calgary/

Altadore. Fifty-two percent of respondents had lived in the community for 10 years or more, while 30.5 percent had lived there from 2 to 10 years. 84.3 percent of the respondents indicated a willingness to stay in the community. The survey indicated that the five most common responses as to what people like the most about living in South Calgary/Altadore were:

- (1) The proximity to Downtown;
- (2) The availability of shopping facilities;
- (3) The quietness of the neighbourhood;
- (4) The availability of parks;
- (5) The proximity to place of employment.

The three most common reasons identified for disliking living in the neighbourhood were:

- (1) The poor maintenance of houses and property;
- (2) Traffic noise;
- (3) Inadequate parking.

### 3.2.2 Land Use Considerations

#### Residential

Most people felt there was a need to ensure stability in the community. There was a common feeling that through a combination of conservation and rehabilitation of existing low density dwelling units, stability would be enhanced. People were split, however, on the need or desirability for single-family infill dwellings on 7.5 metre lots as desirable in the area. There was an indication that the provision of design/development guidelines would make infill development a more desirable option.

There were many people who felt that the existing multi-family areas provide a good transition between low density residential areas and busy thoroughfares while allowing for a variety of unit types and building forms.

A strong desire was identified for seniors accommodation in the community, either in their own homes or in a multi-unit development.

### **Commercial**

People were concerned with the location, quality and kinds of commercial uses available in the community. There was an indication of a need to curtail random commercial redevelopment and to clearly define the extent and nature that future commercial development should take. It was felt that there was a need to introduce a recognizable pattern into the 33rd Avenue area through the creation of a strong and attractive commercial centre.

### **3.2.3 Open Space, Recreation and School Facilities**

It was generally felt that the amount of open space provided in the community was satisfactory.

There was concern expressed over the threat to that amount should schools in the area be closed and the land disposed of. The recent closure of two schools in the community, St. Raymond Separate Elementary and Dr. Oakley Public Junior High is not considered a threat to the adequate provision of school facilities in the area by the residents. However, closure of additional schools could

jeopardize the accessibility of school facilities to children in South Calgary/Altadore and pose a threat to the attractiveness of the area to families with children. The disposal of the Dr. Oakley or Clinton Ford School site for other purposes would adversely affect open space provision in the community.

It was felt that while the amount of open space is generally sufficient, that a pocket park is desirable in the area of 30<sup>th</sup> to 32<sup>nd</sup> Avenue close to 19<sup>th</sup> or 20<sup>th</sup> Street.

### **3.2.4 Transportation**

#### **Roads**

There is a strong desire within the community to have the “truck route” designation on 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue removed. Among reasons noted were the threat to the low density residential nature of a large portion of 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, and residential areas directly to the north and south of it.

## **3.3 Policy Direction**

### **The Calgary General Municipal Plan**

The approved growth strategy outlined in the Calgary General Municipal Plan (1978) indicates overall directions for change within the Inner City and Inner Suburbs. South Calgary/Altadore is categorized as an Inner Suburb community in the Plan, with such communities given the following policy direction:

“3.3.6.1 .... It is particularly important that the character and integrity of the inner suburbs be protected. For the most part the inner suburbs are stable areas having a housing stock in good condition. Unwarranted intrusions of inappropriate land uses into these areas should be prevented wherever possible. In specific instances where there may be justification for some change in land use policy, such a change should be investigated through appropriate planning processes such as the area redevelopment plan process.”

### **Residential Land Use**

The Inner City Plan recommends two general residential land use policies for South Calgary/Altadore (Figure 11):

#### **(1) Conservation**

“The intent within areas designated for conservation is to retain the existing character and quality of the area. These areas should function as stable family residential neighbourhoods. Portions of such areas should be preserved (protected from more intensive development), other parts may accept some new development so long as it respects and enhances the existing fabric of the community.”

#### **(2) Medium Low Density**

“This density range relates to existing R-2 to R-3 (R-2 to RM-4 under the Land Use Bylaw 2P80) land use classifications and would allow from 23 to 65 units per net acre. The intent is to provide a variety of housing opportunities with some emphasis on family accommodation. Fifty percent of the units should contain two bedrooms or more and have access to private open space at grade. Building form should respect the character of surrounding buildings. Single family, duplexes, fourplexes, row housing, stacked townhouses and walkups could be built in these areas.”

### **Commercial Land Use**

The Inner City Plan categorizes 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue S.W. as a “Local Auto Oriented Strip” between 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> Streets S.W., and recommends the policies shown on Table 6.

### **Transportation**

#### Inner City Plan

The Inner City Plan classifies Crowchild Trail, 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, and 14<sup>th</sup> Street south to 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue as Primary Thoroughfares (the equivalent of the present terms of Freeway, Expressway or Major Road); 26<sup>th</sup> Avenue, 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue, parts of 16<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> Streets, 42<sup>nd</sup> and 40<sup>th</sup> Avenues, as Secondary Thoroughfares (Collector Roads), with the remainder of the community roads as Local Streets.

**Table 6**  
**Inner-City Plan Commercial Land Use**

<b>Character</b>	<b>General Guidelines</b>
<p>1. Provision of goods and services catering to the needs of the surrounding neighbourhoods:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">e.g.,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food stores;</li> <li>• Dry cleaners;</li> <li>• Hardware;</li> <li>• Small shops and restaurants.</li> </ul> <p>2. Little sidewalk pedestrian movement or activity.</p> <p>3. Typical user will stop at only a very few businesses; cars will be parked on site.</p>	<p>1. Parking:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• On-Street Parking not encouraged.</li> <li>• Few public parking Banks.</li> <li>• Access to parking from the major street, <u>not</u> by means of laneways.</li> <li>• Restricted parking on adjacent residential streets.</li> </ul> <p>2. Low intensity land uses.</p> <p>3. Very limited residential uses (oriented away from the major street).</p>


SOUTH CALGARY/  
ALTADORE

Fig.  
11

TITLE  
INNER CITY  
PLAN POLICIES


LEGEND

-  Conservation
-  Medium Low Density Residential
-  Local Auto Commercial



DATE  
SEPTEMBER 1986

 THE CITY OF CALGARY  
PLANNING & BUILDING DEPARTMENT



### **Crowchild Trail South Functional Planning Study**

This study, approved by City Council on December 18, 1978, addressed the upgrading of Crowchild Trail from 11<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W. to Glenmore Trail S.W. The changes that affect the South Calgary/Altadore Community involved:

- Crowchild Trail widening to six lanes between 38<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W. and 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W.
- Construction of an interchange at 33<sup>rd</sup> Avenue S.W.

All of this work was completed in 1983 as part of Stage 1 of the project. Approved future upgrading affecting this area consists of widening Crowchild Trail to 6 lanes between 38<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 50<sup>th</sup> Avenue S.W. if and when growth in Lincoln Park and Strathcona warrants it.

### **Southwest Roads Study**

On June 26, 1979, City Council adopted the following recommendations for roads which would have an impact on South Calgary/Altadore:

“That Council adopt the following plans and instruct the Administration to ensure that the right-of-way is protected for them.

.... (b) Richmond Road/33 Avenue S.W. as shown in Exhibit 7 of the Southwest Roads Report and subject to change in the 33 Avenue section in accordance with the decision of Council on March 26, 1979 (OD79-13).”